

FINITE OLIVER GROUPS WITH RANK INTEGER 0 OR 1 AND SMITH EQUIVALENCE OF GROUP MODULES

KRZYSZTOF PAWAŁOWSKI AND RONALD SOLOMON

ABSTRACT. Our main algebraic theorem presents a classification of finite Oliver groups G with the rank integer $r_G = 0$ or 1 . The famous Smith isomorphism question reads as follows. If a finite group G acts smoothly on a sphere with exactly two fixed points, is it true that the tangent G -modules at the two points are always isomorphic? We show that this question has the negative answer and $r_G \geq 2$ for any finite Oliver group G of odd order, and for any finite Oliver group G with a cyclic quotient of order pq for two distinct odd primes p and q . We also show that with just one unknown case, this question has the negative answer for any finite nonsolvable gap group G with $r_G \geq 2$. Moreover, we deduce that for a finite simple group G , the answer to the Smith isomorphism question is affirmative if and only if $r_G = 0$ or 1 .

Introduction

Let G be a finite group. For convenience, we say that a series $P \trianglelefteq H \trianglelefteq G$ of normal subgroups H of G and P of H is an *isthmus series* if P and G/H are of prime power order and H/P is cyclic. It turns out that the following three statements are equivalent.

- (1) G has a smooth action on a disk without fixed points.
- (2) G has no isthmus series of subgroups.
- (3) G has a smooth action on a sphere with exactly one fixed point.

In fact, by the work of Oliver [O1], (1) and (2) are equivalent. By the Slice Theorem, (3) implies (1), and according to Laitinen and Morimoto [LM], (2) implies (3).

Following [LM], a finite group G is called an *Oliver group* if G has no isthmus series of subgroups. Recall that each finite nonsolvable group G is an Oliver group, and a finite abelian (more generally, nilpotent) group G is an Oliver group if and only if G has three or more noncyclic Sylow subgroups (cf. [O1], [O2], and [LMP]).

Key words and phrases. Finite Oliver group, rank integer of a finite group G , smooth G -action on disk or sphere, tangent G -module, Oliver or Smith equivalence of G -modules.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57S17, 57S25, 20D05. Secondary: 55M35, 57R65.

The first author was supported in part by KBN grant #2 P03A 031 15.

The second author was supported in part by NSF grant #DMS 97-01253.

Following [LP], two elements g and h of a finite group G are called *real conjugate* in G , written $g \overset{\pm 1}{\sim} h$, if h is conjugate to g or g^{-1} . Clearly, the relation $\overset{\pm 1}{\sim}$ in G is an equivalence relation. The resulting equivalence class $(g)^{\pm 1}$ of g is called the *real conjugacy class* of g . It follows that $(g)^{\pm 1} = (g) \cup (g^{-1})$, the union of the conjugacy classes (g) and (g^{-1}) .

Let G be a finite group. By a real G -module we mean a finite dimensional real vector space V with a linear action of G . Let $RO(G)$ be the real representation ring of G and let $IO(G)$ be the intersection of the kernels of the restriction maps $RO(G) \rightarrow RO(P)$ taken for all subgroups P of G of prime power order. We put $PO(G) = IO(G) \cap \text{Ker}(RO(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$, where the map $RO(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by mapping the difference $U - V \in RO(G)$ into the difference $\dim U^G - \dim V^G \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any two real G -modules U and V .

Following [LP], we denote by r_G the number of the real conjugacy classes represented by elements of G which are not of prime power order. The ranks of the free abelian groups $IO(G)$ and $PO(G)$ are computed in [LP, Lemma 2.1] to the effect that $\text{rk } IO(G) = r_G$ and $\text{rk } PO(G) = r_G - 1$ for $r_G \geq 1$, and $IO(G) = PO(G) = 0$ for $r_G = 0$. Therefore $PO(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G = 0$ or 1. We refer to r_G as to the *rank integer* of G .

For a smooth action of a finite group G on a smooth manifold M , take the derivatives of the transformations $g : M \rightarrow M$ determined by the elements $g \in G$. For any point $x \in M$ left fixed by the action of G , this allows us to consider the tangent space $T_x(M)$ as a real G -module which we refer to as to the *tangent G -module at x* .

In 1960, in the case where G is a finite group, Paul A. Smith [Sm, page 406] asked the following question which is still not answered completely.

Smith isomorphism question. *Is it true that for any smooth action of G on a sphere with exactly two fixed points, the tangent G -modules at the two points are isomorphic?*

Following [Pe1]–[Pe4], given a finite group G , two real G -modules U and V are called *Smith equivalent* if there exists a smooth action of G on a sphere S^n with exactly two fixed points x and y , such that as real G -modules, $T_x(S^n) \cong U$ and $T_y(S^n) \cong V$.

We denote by $Sm(G)$ the subset of $RO(G)$ which is formed by taking the differences $U - V$ represented by two Smith equivalent real G -modules U and V . It is an open question whether $Sm(G)$ is a subgroup of $RO(G)$. However, for a real G -module V ,

$$0 = V - V = (V - V^G) - (V - V^G) \in Sm(G).$$

So, for any finite group G , $Sm(G)$ contains the trivial subgroup 0 of $RO(G)$ and the Smith isomorphism question can be restated as follows. *Is it true that $Sm(G) = 0$?*

By [AB], [Mi], [Sa], $Sm(\mathbb{Z}_{p^a}) = 0$ for any odd prime p and any integer $a \geq 1$, and by character theory, $Sm(S_3) = 0$ and $Sm(\mathbb{Z}_n) = 0$ for $n = 2, 4$, or 6 . On the other hand, by [CS1]–[CS3], $Sm(\mathbb{Z}_n) \neq 0$ for $n = 4q$ with $q \geq 2$. Hence, $G = \mathbb{Z}_8$ is the smallest group such that $Sm(G) \neq 0$. We refer the reader to [AB], [CS1]–[CS3], [Ch], [DP], [DPS], [DS], [DW], [I], [LP], [MPe], [Mi], [Pa1], [Pa3], [Pe1]–[Pe4], [PR], [Sa], [Sch], [Suh] for more information related with Smith equivalence of G -modules. In this paper, we describe large classes of groups G such that the following two conjectures about $Sm(G)$ are true.

Conjecture 1. $Sm(G) = 0$ for any finite Oliver group G with $r_G \leq 1$.

Conjecture 2. $Sm(G) \neq 0$ for any finite Oliver group G with $r_G \geq 2$.

For a finite group G , we set $Pm(G) = PO(G) \cap Sm(G)$. Recall that $PO(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G \leq 1$. In effect, $Pm(G) = 0$ for $r_G \leq 1$. For specific finite Oliver groups G with $r_G \geq 2$, we prove that $Pm(G) \neq 0$ and thus $Sm(G) \neq 0$, confirming Conjecture 2.

Here, we wish to mention that for a finite Oliver group G with $r_G \geq 2$, the claim that $Pm(G) \neq 0$ is true once so is the Laitinen Conjecture posed in [LP, Appendix].

Our main algebraic theorem (Classification Theorem) allows us to obtain information (Theorems A1–A3) about a subgroup $LO(G)$ of $PO(G)$. Our main topological theorem (Realization Theorem) and Theorems A1–A3 allow us to get Theorems B1–B3 and C1–C3 which confirm Conjectures 1 and 2 for large classes of finite Oliver groups G .

In particular, contrary to the speculation in [Sch, Comment (2), p. 547] that $Sm(G) \neq 0$ for any finite Oliver group G , we show that there exist precisely fourteen finite nonabelian simple groups G such that $Sm(G) = 0$ (Theorem C1). We recall that any finite nonabelian simple group G is a nonsolvable (and thus Oliver) group.

Moreover, we give counterexamples to the conjecture in [DS, p. 44] that $Sm(H) = 0$ for any subgroup H of a finite group G with $Sm(G) = 0$. In fact, we show that there exist precisely four finite nonabelian simple groups G such that $Sm(G) = 0$ and G has a cyclic subgroup H of order 8 (Theorem C1), and $Sm(H) \neq 0$ by [CS1]–[CS3].

Now, we wish to state the main results of this paper. First, we present a classification of finite Oliver groups G with rank integer $r_G \leq 1$. Below, we deal with the following groups: cyclic groups C_q of order q and dihedral groups D_q of order $2q$, finite elementary abelian p -groups $C_p^k = C_p \times \cdots \times C_p$ (k -times), alternating groups A_n , symmetric groups S_n , matrix groups $GL(n, q)$, $SL(n, q)$, $PGL(n, q)$, $PSL(n, q)$, $PSU(n, q)$, the Mathieu groups M_{10} (the 1-point stabilizer in M_{11}), M_{11} , M_{22} , and the Suzuki groups $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$.

Also, $P\Sigma L(n, q)$ and $\Sigma L(n, q)$ denote the splitting extensions of $PSL(n, q)$ and $SL(n, q)$, respectively, by the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of all field automorphisms of the field \mathbb{F}_q of q elements. For $n \geq 4$ and $n \neq 6$, there exist two not isomorphic groups G occurring in a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow C_2 \rightarrow G \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$ where G does not contain a subgroup isomorphic to A_n . For $n = 4$, one of the groups is isomorphic to $GL(2, 3)$ and the other, denoted here by \hat{S}_4 , has exactly one element of order 2. Henceforth, for a finite group G , $F(G)$ denotes the Fitting subgroup of G , i.e., the largest nilpotent normal subgroup of G , and $F^2(G)$ denotes the pre-image of $F(G/F(G))$ under the quotient map $G \rightarrow G/F(G)$. Moreover, for two groups N and H , $N \rtimes H$ denotes a semi-direct product of N and H , i.e., the splitting extension G associated with a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$.

Classification Theorem. *Let G be a finite Oliver group with the rank integer $r_G \leq 1$. Then one of the following conclusions holds:*

- (1) $G \cong PSL(2, q)$ for some $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17\}$; or
- (2) $G \cong PSL(3, 3)$, $PSL(3, 4)$, $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$, A_7 , M_{11} or M_{22} ; or
- (3) $G \cong PGL(2, 5)$, $PGL(2, 7)$, $P\Sigma L(2, 8)$, or M_{10} ; or
- (4) G is an extension of $PSL(3, 4)$ by a unitary automorphism of order 2; or
- (5) $F(G) \cong C_2^2 \times C_3$ and G is isomorphic either to $\text{Stab}_{A_7}(\{1, 2, 3\})$ or to $C_2^2 \rtimes D_9$; or
- (6) $F(G)$ is an abelian p -group for some odd prime p , $G \cong F(G) \rtimes H$ for $H < G$ with $H \cong SL(2, 3)$ or \hat{S}_4 , and $F(G)$ is inverted by the unique involution of H ; or
- (7) $F(G) \cong C_3^3$ and $G \cong F(G) \rtimes A_4$; or
- (8) $F(G) \cong C_2^4$, $F^2(G) \cong A_4 \times A_4$, and $G \cong F^2(G) \rtimes C_4$; or
- (9) $F(G) \cong C_2^8$ and $G \cong F(G) \rtimes H$ for $H < G$ with $H \cong PSU(3, 2)$ or $C_3^2 \rtimes C_8$; or
- (10) $F(G) \cong C_2^3$ and $G/F(G) \cong GL(3, 2)$; or
- (11) $F(G) \cong C_2^4$ and $G/F(G) \cong A_6$; or
- (12) $F(G) \cong C_2^8$ and $G/F(G) \cong M_{10}$; or
- (13) $F(G)$ is a non-identity elementary abelian 2-group and $G/F(G)$ is isomorphic to one of the groups $SL(2, 4)$, $\Sigma L(2, 4)$, $SL(2, 8)$, $Sz(8)$ or $Sz(32)$.

We remark that the Classification Theorem extends a previous result of Bannuscher and Tiedt [BT] obtained for finite nonsolvable groups G whose elements all have prime power order (i.e., whose rank integer $r_G = 0$). Our proof is largely independent of their result, but we do invoke it to establish that $F(G)$ is elementary abelian in case (13). Also, their result and our cases (1)–(13) allow us to list all finite Oliver groups G with $r_G = 1$.

Let G be a finite group. We denote by $\mathcal{P}(G)$ the family of subgroups of G of prime power order. For a prime p , by the *Dress subgroup of G of type p* we mean the smallest normal subgroup G^p of G such that $|G/G^p| = p^a$ for an integer $a \geq 0$ (cf. [LM]).

A subgroup H of G is called a *large subgroup of G* if H contains the Dress subgroup G^p of G of type p for some prime p . We denote by $\mathcal{L}(G)$ the family of large subgroups of G . A real G -module V is called *\mathcal{L} -free* if $\dim V^H = 0$ for each large subgroup H of G .

Let $LO(G)$ be the subgroup of $RO(G)$ formed by taking the differences $U - V \in RO(G)$ represented by two real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules U and V which are isomorphic when restricted to any $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. Recall that $IO(G)$ is the intersection of the kernels of the restriction maps $RO(G) \rightarrow RO(P)$ taken for all $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. Also, $PO(G) = IO(G) \cap \text{Ker}(RO(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z})$, where $RO(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the G -fixed point set dimension map. Clearly, $LO(G) \subseteq PO(G)$. In general, $LO(G) \neq PO(G)$. However, $LO(G) = PO(G)$ if G is a finite perfect group, because then the Dress subgroup $G^p = G$ for any prime p , and thus $\mathcal{L}(G) = \{G\}$.

The following theorem generalizes the assertion of Corollary 1.8 in [LP], concerned with the realifications of complex G -modules for any finite perfect group G .

Realization Theorem. *Let G be a finite Oliver gap group. Then $LO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$.*

In the Realization Theorem, we in fact prove that $LO(G) \subseteq Pm(G)$ because we always know that $LO(G) \subseteq PO(G)$ and $Pm(G) = PO(G) \cap Sm(G)$ by definition.

Here, as in [MSY], a finite group G is called a *gap group* if $\mathcal{P}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$ and G has a real \mathcal{L} -free G -module V satisfying the gap condition that $\dim V^P > 2 \dim V^H$ for each pair (P, H) of subgroups P and H of G such that $P < H$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$.

We refer to [MSY] and [Sum] for basic information on gap groups. According to [DH] or [MSY], the symmetric group S_n is a gap group if and only if $n \geq 6$. It turns out that if G is a finite group with $\mathcal{P}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$, then G is a gap group assuming that:

- (1) $G^p \neq G$ and $G^q \neq G$ for two distinct odd primes p and q (which is true, e.g., when G has a cyclic quotient of order pq for two distinct odd primes p and q), or
- (2) $G^2 = G$ (which is true, e.g., when G is perfect or G is of odd order), or
- (3) G has a quotient which is a gap group.

In Theorems A1–A3, B1–B3, and C1–C3 below, we wish to state further results about $LO(G)$, $Pm(G)$, and $Sm(G)$. In particular, we deduce cases where $LO(G) \neq 0$, and using the Realization Theorem, we describe finite Oliver gap groups G with $Pm(G) \neq 0$. Also, using representation theory, we determine classes of finite groups G with $Sm(G) = 0$.

Theorem A1. *Let G be a finite group with a cyclic quotient of order pq for two distinct odd primes p and q . Then $r_G \geq 2$ and $LO(G) \neq 0$.*

Theorem A2. *Let G be a finite Oliver group of odd order. Then $r_G \geq 2$ and $LO(G) \neq 0$.*

Theorem A3. *Let G be a finite nonsolvable group. Then*

- (1) $LO(G) = 0$ for $r_G \leq 1$,
- (2) $LO(G) \neq 0$ for $r_G \geq 2$, except when $G \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, and
- (3) $LO(G) = 0$ and $r_G = 2$ when $G \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$.

Theorem B1. *Let G be a finite Oliver group with a cyclic quotient of order pq for two distinct odd primes p and q . Then G is a gap group, $r_G \geq 2$, and $Pm(G) \neq 0$.*

Theorem B2. *Let G be a finite Oliver group of odd order. Then G is a gap group, $r_G \geq 2$, and $Pm(G) \neq 0$.*

Theorem B3. *Let G be a finite nonsolvable gap group not isomorphic to $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$. Then $Pm(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $r_G \geq 2$.*

If G is a finite perfect group, $LO(G) = PO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$ by the Realization Theorem, and thus $Pm(G) = PO(G)$. Hence, $Pm(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $r_G \geq 2$, proving Theorem B3 in the case G is a finite perfect group. Note that in this case, the assertion of Theorem B3 is equivalent to the assertion of Theorem A in [LP].

Conjecture 2 holds for any group G occurring in Theorems B1 and B2. By Theorem B3, Conjecture 2 also holds for any finite nonsolvable gap group G with $r_G \geq 2$, except when $G \cong P\Sigma L(2, 27)$. In this exceptional case, $r_G = 2$ and $LO(G) = 0$ by Theorem A3, and the same is true when $G \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$. According to [MSY, Proposition 4.1], $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$ is a gap group while $\text{Aut}(A_6)$ is not. In the case $G \cong P\Sigma L(2, 27)$ or $\text{Aut}(A_6)$, $r_G = 2$ and thus $\text{rk } PO(G) = 1$, but we do not know whether $PO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$.

By Theorem B3, $Sm(G) \neq 0$ for any finite nonabelian simple group G with $r_G \geq 2$. Using the Classification Theorem, we will determine all finite nonabelian simple groups G with $r_G \leq 1$, and in each case, we will check that $Sm(G) = 0$. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime p , then $r_G = 0$ and $Sm(G) = 0$ by [AB], [Mi]. So, for any finite simple group G , the answer to the Smith isomorphism question reads as follows: $Sm(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G \leq 1$.

We wish to recall that A_n is a simple group if and only if $n \geq 5$. Moreover, except for $PSL(2, 2)$ and $PSL(2, 3)$, every $PSL(n, q)$ is a simple group, and the following holds: $A_5 \cong PSL(2, 4) \cong PSL(2, 5)$, $A_6 \cong PSL(2, 9)$, and $PSL(2, 7) \cong PSL(3, 2)$.

Theorem C1. *Let G be a finite nonabelian simple group. Then the following holds.*

(1) *For $r_G \leq 1$, $Sm(G) = 0$ and G is isomorphic to one of the groups:*

$$r_G = 0 : PSL(2, q) \text{ for } q = 5, 7, 8, 9, 17, \text{ or } PSL(3, 4), Sz(8), Sz(32),$$

$$r_G = 1 : PSL(2, 11), PSL(2, 13), PSL(3, 3), A_7, M_{11}, M_{22}.$$

In particular, G has a cyclic subgroup of order 8 if and only if G is isomorphic to $PSL(2, 17)$, $PSL(3, 3)$, M_{11} , or M_{22} .

(2) *For $r_G \geq 2$, $Pm(G) \neq 0$ and thus $Sm(G) \neq 0$.*

Theorem C2. *Let $G = SL(n, q)$ or $Sp(n, q)$ for $n \geq 2$ where n is even in the latter case and q is any prime power in both cases. Then the following holds.*

(1) *For $r_G \leq 1$, $Sm(G) = 0$ and G is isomorphic to one of the groups:*

$$r_G = 0 : SL(2, 2), SL(2, 4), SL(2, 8), SL(3, 2),$$

$$r_G = 1 : SL(2, 3), SL(3, 3).$$

In particular, G has a cyclic subgroup of order 8 if and only if $G = SL(3, 3)$.

(2) *For $r_G \geq 2$, $Pm(G) \neq 0$ and thus $Sm(G) \neq 0$.*

Theorem C3. *Let $G = A_n$ or S_n for $n \geq 2$. Then the following holds.*

(1) *For $r_G \leq 1$, $Sm(G) = 0$ and G is one of the groups:*

$$r_G = 0 : A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6, S_2, S_3, S_4,$$

$$r_G = 1 : A_7, S_5.$$

In particular, G has no cyclic subgroup of order 8.

(2) *For $r_G \geq 2$, $Pm(G) \neq 0$ and thus $Sm(G) \neq 0$.*

We recall that the symplectic group $Sp(n, q)$ as well as the projective symplectic group $PSp(n, q)$ are defined for any even integer $n \geq 2$ and any prime power q .

Concluding Corollary. *The statement $Sm(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G \leq 1$ holds for any finite simple group G and for $G = SL(n, q)$, $PSL(n, q)$, $Sp(n, q)$, $PSp(n, q)$, A_n , or S_n , where $n \geq 2$ and q is any prime power, and n is even for $G = Sp(n, q)$ or $PSp(n, q)$.*

We wish to note that in the Concluding Corollary, for $G = A_n$, the result follows from [LP, Theorem B]. Moreover, in [LP, Theorem B], the results about $PSL(n, q)$ and $SL(n, q)$ are obtained for $n = 2$ and any prime q . While [LP] deals with the realifications of complex G -modules, in our paper (more generally), we deal with real G -modules.

The material of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 0, for any finite group G and any normal subgroup H of G , we define a subgroup $IO(G, H)$ of $PO(G)$ and we compute

the rank of the free abelian group $IO(G, H)$ to the effect that $\text{rk } IO(G, H) = r_G - \bar{r}_{G/H}$, where $\bar{r}_{G/H}$ is the number of the real conjugacy classes $(gH)^{\pm 1}$ of G/H represented by cosets gH containing elements of G not of prime power order (Lemma 0.1). Moreover,

$$IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \subseteq IO(G, G^{\text{nil}}) \subseteq LO(G) \subseteq IO(G, G^p) \subseteq IO(G, G) = PO(G)$$

for any Dress subgroup G^p of G and the smallest normal subgroups G^{sol} and G^{nil} of G such that G/G^{sol} is solvable and G/G^{nil} is nilpotent (Lemma 0.3). In Section 1, we obtain our first major result about the rank interger r_G (Proposition 1.6). The result asserts that for a finite Oliver group G of odd order and without cyclic quotient of order pq for two distinct odd primes p and q , $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/G^{\text{nil}}}$ and thus $IO(G, G^{\text{nil}}) \neq 0$ by Lemma 0.1.

In Section 2, we prove the Classification Theorem by using the fundamental results of [GLS] including those restated in Theorems 2.2–2.4 of this paper. Moreover, we make use of Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem, the Feit-Thompson Theorem, the Brauer-Suzuki Theorem, and the Classification of the finite simple groups. In Section 3, by arguing as we do in Section 2 for a finite nonsolvable group G , we analyze the cases $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/G^{\text{sol}}}$ and in effect, we obtain our next major result about the rank interger r_G (Proposition 3.1). In particular, we deduce the cases where $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/G^{\text{sol}}}$ and thus $IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \neq 0$ by Lemma 0.1.

In Section 4, for any finite Oliver group G , we introduce the notion of Oliver equivalence of G -modules to the effect that in the notion of Smith equivalence, we replace actions on spheres by actions on disks. Then, using [O3, Theorem 0.4], we show that any two Oliver equivalent real G -modules are isomorphic if and only if G is listed in the cases (1)–(13) of the Classification Theorem (Corollary 4.3). Moreover, we describe real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules which can be realized as the tangent G -modules at isolated fixed points for smooth actions of G on disks (Theorem 4.4). In Section 5, we prove the Realization Theorem by using Theorem 4.4 and a similar theorem obtained for actions on spheres (Theorem 5.5) which in turn we prove by applying an equivariant surgery result (Theorem 5.2).

In Section 6, we consider a class of finite groups G satisfying the 8-condition, which implies that $Sm(G) \subseteq PO(G)$, and thus $Sm(G) = 0$ when in addition $r_G \leq 1$.

In Section 7, we prove Theorems A1–A3, B1–B2, C1–C3, and the Concluding Corollary. We refer to [Br], [tD], or [K] for background material on transformation groups.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Yu-Fen Wu for calling the results of Bannuscher and Tiedt [BT] to their attention. The first author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at The Ohio State University for hospitality and support under a period of performing this research.

0. Rank Lemma

Let G be a finite group. We already defined the following series of free abelian groups: $IO(G) \subseteq PO(G) \subseteq IO(G)$. Now, for a normal subgroup H of G , we put

$$IO(G, H) = IO(G) \cap \text{Ker} (RO(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Fix}^H} RO(G/H))$$

with Fix^H defined by setting $\text{Fix}^H(U - V) = U^H - V^H$ for two real G -modules U and V , where the H -fixed point sets U^H and V^H are considered as the canonical G/H -modules. If $U - V \in IO(G, H)$, then $U - V \in IO(G)$ and $U^H \cong V^H$ as G/H -modules, and thus

$$\dim U^G = \dim(U^H)^{G/H} = \dim(V^H)^{G/H} = \dim V^G,$$

proving that $U - V \in PO(G)$. So $IO(G, H) \subseteq PO(G)$. As $RO(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Fix}^G} RO(G/G) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ is the G -fixed point set dimension map, $IO(G, G) = PO(G)$.

Recall that for a finite group G , the rank integer of G is the number r_G of the real conjugacy classes $(g)^{\pm 1}$ of G such that elements g of G are not of prime power order.

For a normal subgroup H of G , we denote by $\bar{r}_{G/H}$ the number of the real conjugacy classes $(gH)^{\pm 1}$ of G/H represented by cosets gH containing elements of G not of prime power order. In general, $r_G \geq \bar{r}_{G/H} \geq r_{G/H}$. Clearly, $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/G} = 0$ when each element of G has prime power order, and otherwise either $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/G} = 1$ or $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/G} = 1$. Thus $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/G}$ if and only if $r_G = 0$ or 1 .

Now, we compute the rank of the free abelian group $IO(G, H)$. In the special case where $H = G$, the computation goes back to [LP, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 0.1 (Rank Lemma). *For a finite group G and a normal subgroup H of G ,*

$$\text{rk } IO(G) = r_G, \quad \text{rk } IO(G, H) = r_G - \bar{r}_{G/H}, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rk } PO(G) = r_G - \bar{r}_{G/G}.$$

In particular, $IO(G, H) = 0$ for $r_G \leq 1$, and $PO(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G \leq 1$.

Proof. In [LP, Lemma 2.1], the rank of $IO(G)$ is computed as follows. The rank of the free abelian group $IO(G)$ is equal to the dimension of the real vector space $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} IO(G)$ which consists of the real valued functions on G that are constant on the real conjugacy classes $(g)^{\pm 1}$ and that vanish when g is of prime power order. Therefore, $\text{rk } IO(G) = r_G$. Now, for a normal subgroup H of G , we compute the rank of the kernel

$$IO(G, H) = \text{Ker} (IO(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Fix}^H} RO(G/H)).$$

First, for a representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, consider the corresponding representation $\mathrm{Fix}^H \rho : G/H \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V^H)$ given by $(\mathrm{Fix}^H \rho)(gH) = \rho(g)|_{V^H}$ for each $g \in G$. Let $\pi : V \rightarrow V$ be the projection of V onto V^H , that is,

$$\pi = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \rho(h) : V \rightarrow V.$$

Then the trace of $(\mathrm{Fix}^H \rho)(gH) : V^H \rightarrow V^H$ is the same as the trace of the endomorphism

$$\rho(g) \circ \pi = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \rho(gh) : V \rightarrow V.$$

So, if χ is the character of ρ , then the character $\mathrm{Fix}^H \chi$ of $\mathrm{Fix}^H \rho$ is given by

$$(\mathrm{Fix}^H \chi)(gH) = \frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \chi(gh).$$

This formula extends (by linearity) to $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} RO(G)$. Consider the basis of $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} IO(G)$ consisting of the functions $f_{(g)^{\pm 1}}$ which have the value 1 on $(g)^{\pm 1}$ and 0 otherwise, defined for all classes $(g)^{\pm 1}$ represented by elements $g \in G$ not of prime power order. Then, by the formula above applied to $\chi = f_{(g)^{\pm 1}}$,

$$(\mathrm{Fix}^H f_{(g)^{\pm 1}})(gH) = \frac{|(g)^{\pm 1} \cap gH|}{|H|}$$

and $\mathrm{Fix}^H f_{(g)^{\pm 1}}$ vanishes outside of $(gH)^{\pm 1}$. Thus the map $\mathrm{Fix}^H : IO(G) \rightarrow RO(G/H)$ has image of rank $\bar{r}_{G/H}$ and its kernel $IO(G, H)$ is of rank $r_G - \bar{r}_{G/H}$. \square

As already noted, $IO(G, H) \subseteq IO(G, G) = PO(G)$ for any normal subgroup H of G . More generally, the following lemma holds.

Lemma 0.2. *Let G be a finite group with two normal subgroups H and K of G such that $H \subseteq K$. Then $IO(G, H)$ is a subgroup of $IO(G, K)$.*

Proof. Take an element $U - V \in IO(G, H) = \mathrm{Ker}(IO(G) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fix}^H} RO(G/H))$ and consider the G -orthogonal complements $U - U^H$ and $V - V^H$ of the real G -modules U and V . Then $U - V = (U - U^H) - (V - V^H)$ because $U^H \cong V^H$ as G/H -modules. But

$$(U - U^H) - (V - V^H) \in IO(G, K) = \mathrm{Ker}(IO(G) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Fix}^K} RO(G/K))$$

because $(U - U^H)^K = (V - V^H)^K = \{0\}$, proving that $U - V \in IO(G, K)$. \square

We wish to recall the definitions of the characteristic subgroups G^{sol} and G^{nil} of a given finite group G , which were used in [LMP] and [LM], respectively.

By the definitions in [LMP], G^{sol} and G^{nil} are the smallest normal subgroups of G such that the quotient G/G^{sol} is a solvable group and the quotient G/G^{nil} is a nilpotent group. Clearly, G is a perfect group if and only if $G^{\text{sol}} = G$, and G is a solvable group if and only if G^{sol} is the trivial group. Moreover, G is a nilpotent group if and only if G^{nil} is the trivial group. Note that $G^{\text{nil}} = \bigcap G^p$ taken for all Dress subgroups G^p of G .

Lemma 0.3. *Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. Then the following holds:*

$$IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \subseteq IO(G, G^{\text{nil}}) \subseteq LO(G) \subseteq IO(G, G^p) \subseteq IO(G, G) = PO(G).$$

Proof. As $G^{\text{sol}} \subseteq G^{\text{nil}}$, Lemma 0.2 asserts that $IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \subseteq IO(G, G^{\text{nil}})$. To prove that $IO(G, G^{\text{nil}}) \subseteq LO(G)$, set $H = G^{\text{nil}}$ and for a real G -module V , consider V^H as a real G -module with the canonical action of G . Note that the G -orthogonal complement $V - V^H$ of V^H in V is \mathcal{L} -free. Thus, for $U - V \in IO(G, H)$, it follows that

$$U - V = (U - U^H) - (V - V^H) \in LO(G).$$

Finally, $LO(G) \subseteq IO(G, G^p)$ because any element of $LO(G)$ is the difference of two real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules U and V with $U - V \in IO(G)$. In particular, $\dim U^{G^p} = \dim V^{G^p} = 0$ and $U - V \in IO(G)$ which implies that $U - V \in IO(G, G^p)$. \square

Lemma 0.3 yields rank inequalities for the corresponding free abelian groups.

Corollary 0.4. *Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. Then the following holds:*

- (1) $\text{rk } IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \leq \text{rk } IO(G, G^{\text{nil}}) \leq \text{rk } LO(G) \leq \text{rk } IO(G, G^p) \leq \text{rk } PO(G)$, and
- (2) $\text{rk } LO(G) \leq \min\{\text{rk } IO(G, G^p) \mid p \mid |G|\}$.

Remark 0.5. Let G be a finite group and let H be a normal subgroup of G . If $H \neq G$, then one of the following conclusions holds:

- (1) $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H} = 0$ when each element of G has prime power order, and otherwise
- (2) $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H} = 1$ (holds, e.g., for $G = S_5$ and $H = G^{\text{sol}} = A_5$), or
- (3) $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H} > 1$ (holds, e.g., for $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$ and $H = G^{\text{sol}}$), or
- (4) $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H} = 1$ (holds, e.g., for $G = S_6$ and $H = G^{\text{sol}} = A_6$), or
- (5) $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H} > 1$ (holds, e.g., for $G = A_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $H = G^{\text{sol}} = A_5$).

1. Finite Oliver groups of odd order

It will be convenient to use the following notation. For a finite group G , we denote by $\text{NPP}(G)$ the set of all elements of G whose order is not a power of a prime, and we refer to the elements of $\text{NPP}(G)$ as NPP elements of G . We also denote by $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$ the set of real conjugacy classes which are subsets of $\text{NPP}(G)$. Therefore, the rank integer r_G is the number of elements in $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$.

For a finite group G and a normal subgroup H of G , it follows from Lemma 0.1 that $IO(G, H) \neq 0$ if and only if $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$. Moreover, $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$ if and only if $\text{NPP}(G)$ contains two elements x and y which are not real conjugate in G but such that the cosets xH and yH are real conjugate in G/H . This allows us to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a finite group and let $H \trianglelefteq G$, i.e., H is a normal subgroup of G . Then the following three conclusions hold.*

- (1) *Some coset gH meets two members of $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$ if and only if $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$.*
- (2) *If H contains two distinct members of $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$, then $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$.*
- (3) *If $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H}$, then $r_{G/K} = \bar{r}_{(G/K)/(H/K)}$ for any $K \trianglelefteq G$ with $K \subseteq H$.*

Proof. The first conclusion is immediate from the remarks above and the second is a special case of the first. In order to prove the third conclusion, suppose that $r_{G/K} > \bar{r}_{(G/K)/(H/K)}$. Then some coset $\bar{y}(H/K)$ meets two members of $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G/K)$. Assume that x and y are elements of G such that \bar{x} and \bar{y} are not of prime power order in G/K and are not in the same real conjugacy class of G/K . Then neither x nor y is of prime power order and $xH = yH$. If $axa^{-1} \in \{y, y^{-1}\}$ for an element $a \in G$, then $\bar{a}\bar{x}\bar{a}^{-1} \in \{\bar{y}, \bar{y}^{-1}\}$ contrary to assumption. Hence, x and y are not in the same real conjugacy class of G and so $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$ by the first conclusion, proving the third one. \square

Lemma 1.2. *Let G be a finite group and assume that $K \trianglelefteq L \subseteq H \subseteq G$ is a sequence of subgroups of G such that the quotient L/K contains NPP elements of two different orders. Then H contains NPP elements of two different orders. In particular, if $H \trianglelefteq G$, then $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H} \geq r_{G/H}$.*

Proof. Suppose xK and yK are NPP elements of L/K of different orders. If x and y have different orders, we are done. If not, we may assume that the order of x is larger than the

order of xK , in which case the cyclic group generated by x contains two NPP elements of different orders. So in any case, H contains two NPP elements of different orders, and if $H \trianglelefteq G$, then $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$ by Lemma 1.1. Clearly $\bar{r}_{G/H} \geq r_{G/H}$. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be a finite nonsolvable group with a nonsolvable subgroup B and a cyclic subgroup $C \neq 1$ such that BC is a subgroup of G isomorphic to $B \times C$. Then G has NPP elements of different orders, and thus $r_G \geq 2$. If furthermore $B \subseteq H$ for $H = G^{\text{sol}}$, then $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$.*

Proof. For a prime divisor p of the order of C , choose an element $g \in C$ of order p . Since B is nonsolvable, it follows from Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem that the order of B has (at least) three distinct prime divisors $q, q',$ and q'' , say with $p \neq q$ and $p \neq q'$. Choose two elements h and h' in B of orders q and q' , respectively. By the assumption, BC is a subgroup of G (which amounts to saying that $BC = CB$) isomorphic to $B \times C$. Thus, the elements gh and gh' have orders pq and pq' , respectively, proving that $r_G \geq 2$. If furthermore $B \subseteq H$ for $H = G^{\text{sol}}$, then the coset gH contains the elements gh and gh' which are not real conjugate in G . Therefore, $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$ by Lemma 1.1. \square

Lemma 1.4. *Let G be a finite group of odd order. Let H be a normal subgroup of G . Suppose that p is a prime and P is an abelian p -subgroup of H with $P \trianglelefteq G$. Suppose that q is a prime, $q \neq p$, and $x \in H$ of order q with $V = C_P(x) \neq 1$. Then $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H}$. Thus, by Lemma 1.1, H contains at most one member of $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$. On the other hand, every element of $Vx \setminus \{x\}$ has order pq and so all of these elements lie in the same member of $\overline{\text{NPP}}(G)$. Let $h = yx$ and $h_1 = y_1x$ with $y, y_1 \in V \setminus \{1\}$. Let $g \in G$ with $h^g = ghg^{-1} \in \{h_1, h_1^{-1}\}$. Then $y^g x^g \in \{y_1 x, y_1^{-1} x^{-1}\}$ and so $x^g \in \{x, x^{-1}\}$. As $|G|$ is odd, $x^{-1} \notin x^G$. Hence $x^g = x$. Thus $g \in C_G(x)$. On the other hand, $C_G(x)$ normalizes $V = C_P(x)$ and so $C_G(x)$ transitively permutes the set $Vx \setminus \{x\}$. But $|Vx \setminus \{x\}| = |V| - 1$ is even, whereas $|C_G(x)|$ is odd, contradicting Lagrange's Theorem. \square

Corollary 1.5. *Let G be a finite group of odd order. Let H be a normal subgroup of G such that $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H}$. Then $F(H)$ is a p -group for some prime p and the Sylow q -subgroups of H are cyclic for all primes $q \neq p$.*

Proof. The result is trivial if $|H| = 1$. Otherwise let p be a prime divisor of $|F(H)|$ and let P be a nontrivial abelian normal subgroup of H . By Lemma 1.4, $C_P(x) = 1$ for all elements

x of H of prime order $q \neq p$. Thus $F(H)$ is a p -group. Moreover, if H contains a noncyclic abelian q -subgroup A for some prime $q \neq p$, then by Schur's Lemma (Theorem 2.3 below), $C_P(x) \neq 1$ for some element $x \in A$ of order q , a contradiction. Hence, as $|G|$ is odd, all Sylow q -subgroups of H are cyclic for $q \neq p$, as claimed. \square

We can now obtain our first major result about the rank interger r_G .

Proposition 1.6. *Let G be a finite Oliver group of odd order. Let $H = G^{nil}$ and suppose that each cyclic quotient of G has prime power order. Then $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$ and $r_G \geq 2$.*

Proof. If $r_G \leq 1$, then $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H}$. Therefore we are done once we prove that $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/H}$. So, assume on the contrary that $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H}$. Then Corollary 1.5 asserts that $F(H) = P$ is a p -group for some odd prime p . By assumption, for any prime $q \neq p$, G has no cyclic quotient of order pq . Hence G/H is an r -group for some prime r , and thus $H \neq F(H)$ because G is an Oliver group.

By Lemma 1.1 (3) applied for $K = P$, $r_{G/P} = \bar{r}_{(G/P)/(H/P)}$. Thus, by Corollary 1.5 applied to G/P , $F(H/P)$ is a q -group for some prime q . As $P = F(H)$, we have that $q \neq p$. Let F_2 be the pre-image in H of $F(H/P)$ and write $F_2 = PQ$ with Q a q -group. Again, by Corollary 1.5, Q is cyclic. Moreover, by Lemma 1.4, $C_P(Q) = 1$ and so $N = N_G(Q)$ is a complement to P in G by the Frattini argument. As Q is cyclic, $\text{Aut}(Q)$ is abelian and so $N/C_G(Q)$ is abelian. Hence $PC_G(Q)$ is a normal subgroup of G with abelian quotient, whence $H \leq PC_G(Q)$, since H is the smallest normal subgroup of G with nilpotent quotient. But QP/P is the Fitting subgroup of H/P , whence $C_H(Q) \leq C_H(QP/P) = QP$. Thus $H = QP$. But then G is not an Oliver group, contrary to assumption. \square

2. Finite Oliver groups G with $r_G = 0$ or 1

In this section, we shall classify finite Oliver groups G with the rank integer $r_G \leq 1$, proving the Classification Theorem stated in the introduction.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a finite Oliver group with the rank integer $r_G \leq 1$. Then one of the conclusions (1)–(13) in the Classification Theorem holds.*

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, our analysis will make repeated use of a few basic concepts and theorems. First, we recall that for a finite group H , the Fitting subgroup $F(H)$ of H is the largest normal nilpotent subgroup of H , $E(H)$ denotes the largest normal semisimple

subgroup of H , and $F^*(H) = E(H)F(H)$ is the generalized Fitting subgroup of H , as defined by Helmut Bender. We shall use the fundamental results [GLS, Theorems 3.5, 3.6] describing the structure and embedding of $F^*(H)$.

Theorem 2.2 (Fitting-Bender Theorem). *For a finite group H , $[E(H), F(H)] = 1$ and $C_H(F^*(H)) = Z(F(H))$. If H is solvable, then $F^*(H) = F(H)$.*

Theorem 2.3 (Schur's Lemma). *If $E \cong C_p \times C_p$ acts on an abelian q -group V , where p and q are distinct primes, then $V = \langle C_V(e) : e \in E^\# \rangle$.*

Theorem 2.4. *If $F = K \rtimes H$ is a Frobenius group with kernel K and complement H and if F acts faithfully on a vector space V over a field of characteristic p , where $(p, |K|) = 1$, then $C_V(H) \neq 0$.*

We shall also use Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem which asserts that the order of a finite nonsolvable group is always divisible by at least three distinct primes, the Feit-Thompson Theorem which asserts that finite groups of odd order are solvable; the Brauer-Suzuki Theorem which asserts that if G is a finite group with no nontrivial normal subgroup of odd order and with a Sylow 2-subgroup of 2-rank 1, then G has a unique involution z lying in $Z(G)$. Finally, we shall use the Classification of the finite simple groups.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be accomplished in a sequence of lemmas, the first two of which will address the following general situation: finite groups H without NPP elements; that is, all elements of H have orders that are powers of primes. Such groups are called *CP groups* and have been studied by several authors including Higman [H], Suzuki [Suz], Bannuscher–Tiedt [BT], and Delgado–Wu [DWu]. We remark that simple CP groups were first classified by Michio Suzuki in a deep paper [Suz], whose main theorem is one of the fundamental results in the proof of the classification of finite simple groups.

The following lemma goes back to Higman [H].

Lemma 2.5. *For a finite solvable CP group H , one of the following conclusions holds:*

- (1) *H is a p -group for some prime p ; or*
- (2) *$H = K \rtimes C$ is a Frobenius group with kernel K a p -group and complement C a q -group of q -rank 1, for two distinct primes p and q ; or*
- (3) *$H = K \rtimes C \rtimes A$ is a 3-step group, in the sense that $K \rtimes C$ is a Frobenius group as in the conclusion (2) with C cyclic, and $C \rtimes A$ is a Frobenius group with kernel C and complement A a cyclic p -group.*

Corollary 2.6. *If G is a finite Oliver CP group, then $F^*(G)$ is nonsolvable.*

Proof. As none of the groups in the conclusions of Lemma 2.5 is an Oliver group, the result follows by Lemma 2.5. \square

Now, we analyze the situation where a finite nonabelian simple group L is without NPP elements or all NPP elements of L have the same order.

Lemma 2.7. *Let L be a finite nonabelian simple group. Assume that L is without NPP elements or all NPP elements of L have the same order. Then L is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (1) $PSL(2, q)$ with $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$; or
- (2) $PSL(2, q)$ with $q = 9$ or q a Fermat or Mersenne prime; or
- (3) $PSL(2, 2^n)$ or $Sz(2^n)$, $n \geq 3$; or
- (4) $PSL(3, 3)$, $PSL(3, 4)$, A_7 , M_{11} or M_{22} .

Proof. We survey the finite simple groups freely making use of the information in [GLS] and [C–W]. If $L \cong A_n$ for some $n \geq 8$, then L contains elements of orders 6 and 15, contrary to assumption. By inspection of [GLS, Tables 5.3], if L is a sporadic simple group, then $L \cong M_{11}$ or M_{22} .

Hence, we may assume that L is a finite simple group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic p . Assume that L is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, q)$ or $Sz(2^n)$. Then by consideration of subsystem subgroups ([GLS, Section 2.6]), we see that one of the following statements is true about L :

- (1) L contains a subgroup K with $K/Z(K) \cong PSL(4, p)$, $PSU(4, p)$, $PSp(6, p)$, $G_2(p)$ or ${}^2F_4(2)'$; or
- (2) $L \cong PSL(3, q)$, $PSU(3, q)$ or $PSp(4, q)$.

Suppose first that p is odd. Then $PSp(4, p)$, $PSL(4, p)$, $PSU(4, p)$ and $G_2(p)$ all contain subgroups isomorphic to a commuting product of $SL(2, p)$ and a cyclic group of order 4. (See [GLS, Table 4.5.1].) Hence, each contains elements of order 6 and 12. Thus, we are reduced to the cases $L \cong PSL(3, q)$ or $L \cong PSU(3, q)$. In both cases, L contains a subgroup isomorphic to $SL(2, q)$. If $q > 3$, then $SL(2, q)$ contains an element of odd prime order $r > 3$ and hence elements of orders 6 and $2r$, contrary to assumption. Thus, we may assume that $L \cong PSL(3, 3)$ or $PSU(3, 3)$. We readily check that $PSU(3, 3)$ contains elements of order 12 (cf. [GLS] or [C–W]), completing the case when p is odd.

Now suppose that $p = 2$. Now $SL(3, 2^n)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $GL(2, 2^n)$, whence $PSL(3, 2^n)$ contains $H = J \times C$, where $J \cong SL(2, 2^n)$ and C is cyclic of order $2^n - 1$ or $\frac{2^n - 1}{3}$. If $n > 2$, this contradicts Lemma 1.7. Similarly, $SU(3, 2^n)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $GU(2, 2^n)$, whence $PSU(3, 2^n)$ contains $H_1 = J_1 \times C_1$ with $J_1 \cong SL(2, 2^n)$ and C_1 cyclic of order $2^n + 1$ or $\frac{2^n + 1}{3}$. If $n > 1$, this again contradicts Lemma 1.7. Finally, $PSp(4, 2^n) = Sp(4, 2^n)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $GL(2, 2^n)$, again giving a contradiction when $n > 1$.

Now, $PSL(4, 2) \cong A_8$, $PSU(4, 2) \cong PSp(4, 3)$ and $G_2(2)' \cong U_3(3)$. By inspection in [C–W], $Sp(6, 2)$ and ${}^2F_4(2)'$ have elements of orders 6 and 10. We conclude that the only examples with $p = 2$ are $PSL(3, 2) \cong PSL(2, 7)$, $PSL(3, 4)$ and $Sp(4, 2)' \cong A_6$.

Finally, suppose that $L \cong PSL(2, q)$ and $q \cong \varepsilon \pmod{8}$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then L has a cyclic subgroup of order $\frac{q - \varepsilon}{2}$. If r is an odd prime divisor of $q - \varepsilon$, then L has elements of order $2r$ and $4r$, contrary to assumption. Hence, q is a Fermat or Mersenne prime, or $q = 9$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that $F^*(G) = L$ is a finite nonabelian simple group and $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/L}$. Then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

- (1) $PSL(2, q)$, $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 17\}$; or
- (2) $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$, A_7 , $PSL(3, 3)$, $PSL(3, 4)$, M_{11} or M_{22} ; or
- (3) $PGL(2, 5)$, $PGL(2, 7)$, $P\Sigma L(2, 8)$, M_{10} , $\text{Aut}(A_6)$, $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$ or the extension $PSL(3, 4)^*$ of $PSL(3, 4)$ by an involutory graph-field automorphism of order 2.

If G is a CP group, then G is isomorphic to one of the following groups: $PSL(2, q)$, $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 17\}$; or $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$, $PSL(3, 4)$ or M_{10} . Moreover, if $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, then $r_G = 2$. In all other cases, $r_G \leq 1$.

Proof. Certainly L is one of the groups listed in Lemma 2.7. First, suppose that $G \neq L$. Note that the hypotheses imply that for any $x \in G$, all NPP elements of the coset Lx have the same order. By easy inspection (or use of [C–W]), if

$$L \in \{PSL(3, 3), PSL(3, 4), A_7, M_{11}, M_{22}\},$$

then $L \cong PSL(3, 4)$ and G is as described.

Suppose next that $L \cong Sz(2^n)$ and let $x \in G \setminus L$ of prime order p . Then x induces a field automorphism on L and p divides n , whence p is odd. But $C_L(x)$ contains a subgroup $H \cong Sz(2)$, which contains a cyclic subgroup of order 4. Hence G contains elements of orders $2p$ and $4p$, contrary to assumption.

Finally suppose that $L \cong PSL(2, p^n)$. If $x \in G \setminus L$ has prime order r and induces a field automorphism on L , then $C_L(x)$ contains a subgroup $H \cong PSL(2, p)$. If $p > 3$, then Lx contains elements of orders $2r$, $3r$ and pr , at least two of which are not prime powers, a contradiction. Hence $p \in \{2, 3\}$ and Lx contains elements of orders $2r$ and $3r$, whence $r \in \{2, 3\}$ and $C_L(x)$ is a $\{2, 3\}$ -group. Hence $C_L(x) \cong PSL(2, 2)$, $PSL(2, 3)$ or $PGL(2, 3)$. Thus $p^n \in \{4, 8, 9, 27\}$. Now by inspection, we get the cases listed in Lemma 2.8.

Next suppose that $L \cong PSL(2, q)$, $q > 9$, and G has no element inducing a non-trivial field automorphism on L . As $L \neq G$, it follows that q is odd. Then by Lemma 2.7, q is an odd power of a prime and so $G \cong PGL(2, q)$. Let $q \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{4}$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Then $G \setminus L$ has an element x of order $q + \varepsilon$, and two elements y, y' in $\langle x \rangle$ are G -conjugate if and only if $y' = y^{-1}$. However Lx contains $\varphi(q + \varepsilon)$ elements of order $q + \varepsilon$, whence $\varphi(q + \varepsilon) = 2$ and $q + \varepsilon = 6$, contrary to the assumption that $q > 9$.

As $PSL(2, 4) \cong PSL(2, 5)$, we conclude that if $L \cong PSL(2, q)$, then $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 27\}$, as claimed. The precise possibilities for G as stated in the proposition may then be inferred easily from [C-W].

Now suppose that $G = L \cong PSL(2, q)$. First we make a numerical remark. Suppose $2^n + 1 = 3^m$ for some natural numbers m and n . If m is odd, then $3^m - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ and so $m = 1$. If $m = 2r$, then $2^n = (3^r - 1)(3^r + 1)$ and so $m = 2$.

Now suppose that $G \cong PSL(2, q)$ with $q = 2^n > 8$. Then G has cyclic subgroups D_1 and D_2 of orders $2^n - 1$ and $2^n + 1$ respectively. If n is odd, then 3 divides $2^n + 1$, but $2^n + 1$ is not a power of 3 by the first paragraph. Hence $NPP(G) \cap D_2$ contains $\varphi(2^n + 1)$ elements of order $2^n + 1$, lying in $\frac{\varphi(2^n + 1)}{2}$ real classes. Hence, as $r_G \leq 1$, $\varphi(2^n + 1) \leq 2$, whence $2^n + 1 = 3$, a contradiction. Thus $n = 2s$ is even and 3 divides $2^n - 1 = (2^s - 1)(2^s + 1)$. As $n > 2$, D_1 is not a 3-group and, as above, $\frac{\varphi(2^n - 1)}{2} \leq 1$. Then $2^n - 1 = 3$, again a contradiction.

Finally suppose that $G \cong PSL(2, q)$ with q odd and $q > 17$. Then G has cyclic subgroups T_1 and T_{-1} of orders $\frac{q-1}{2}$ and $\frac{q+1}{2}$ respectively. If $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ and $q \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{4}$, then $T_\varepsilon \cap NPP(G)$ has $\varphi(\frac{q-\varepsilon}{2})$ elements of order $\frac{q-\varepsilon}{2}$ lying in $\frac{\varphi(\frac{q-\varepsilon}{2})}{2}$ real classes. Hence $\varphi(\frac{q-\varepsilon}{2}) = 2$, whence $\frac{q-\varepsilon}{4} = 3$, a contradiction.

Hence by Lemma 2.7, q is a Fermat or Mersenne prime. Again assume that $q \equiv \varepsilon \pmod{4}$. As $q \neq 3$, 3 divides $q + \varepsilon$. Suppose that $q + \varepsilon = 2 \cdot 3^m$ for some $m \geq 2$. As $q - \varepsilon = 2^k$, we have $2^k + 2\varepsilon = 2 \cdot 3^m$. Hence $2^{k-1} = 3^m - \varepsilon$. If $\varepsilon = -1$, then $3^m + 1 \equiv 2$ or $4 \pmod{8}$, whence $q \leq 9$, contrary to assumption. If $\varepsilon = 1$, then by the first paragraph,

$m \leq 2$ and $q \leq 17$, again a contradiction. It follows that $\frac{q+\varepsilon}{2}$ is not a prime power. But then $T_{-\varepsilon} \cap \text{NPP}(G)$ has $\varphi(\frac{q+\varepsilon}{2})$ elements of order $\frac{q+\varepsilon}{2}$ lying in $\frac{\varphi(\frac{q+\varepsilon}{2})}{2}$ real classes. As usual this implies that $\varphi(\frac{q+\varepsilon}{2}) = 2$, again a contradiction.

Finally suppose that $G = L \cong Sz(2^n)$ with $n \geq 7$ and set $q = 2^n$. Then G has cyclic subgroups T_ε with $|T_\varepsilon| = q + \varepsilon\sqrt{2q} + 1$, for $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. As $q = 2^n$, n odd, we have that 5 divides $q^2 + 1 = |T_1||T_{-1}|$. Thus 5 divides $|T_\varepsilon|$ for some ε . We shall argue that $|T_\varepsilon|$ is not a power of 5 when $n \geq 7$. For suppose that it is. Let $n = 2m + 1$. Then

$$q + \varepsilon\sqrt{2q} + 1 = 2^{2m+1} + \varepsilon 2^{m+1} + 1 = 5^k$$

for some $k \geq 1$. Consideration of the 2-part of $5^k - 1$ shows that the smallest positive k for which $5^k - 1$ is divisible by 2^{m+1} , $m \geq 1$, is $k = 2^{m-1}$. But $2^{2m+1} + 2^{m+1} + 1 < 2^{2m+2}$, while $5^{2^{m-1}} > 4^{2^{m-1}} = 2^{2^m}$. Thus for equality to hold, we must have $2^m < 2m + 2$, which holds only for $m \leq 2$, i.e. for $n \leq 5$.

Thus for $G \cong Sz(2^n)$, $n \geq 7$, the cyclic subgroup T_ε is generated by elements in $\text{NPP}(G)$. Let $h = |T_\varepsilon|$. As $N_G(T_\varepsilon)/T_\varepsilon$ has order 4 and $r_G = 1$, we must have $\varphi(h) = 4$, whence $h = 5$, a final contradiction. \square

Henceforth, we shall assume that G is a finite Oliver group with the properties that

- (1) all elements of $\text{NPP}(G)$ have the same order; and
- (2) if K is a normal subgroup of G such that $K \cap \text{NPP}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{NPP}(G) \subseteq K$.

We shall call G an *EP group* if the properties (1) and (2) above hold. Of course, both of these properties hold when $r_G \leq 1$. Notice that by Lemma 1.6, the class of EP groups is closed under taking subgroups and homomorphic images.

Lemma 2.9. *Suppose that G is an EP group and $F(G)$ is not a p -group. Then G is solvable and the following conclusions hold:*

- (1) $F(G) = P \times Q$ with P an elementary abelian p -group of order p^a and Q an elementary abelian q -group of order q^b ; and either
- (2) $G/F(G)$ is an r -group of r -rank 1, r prime; with $r \notin \{p, q\}$; or
- (3) $G/F(G)$ is a nonabelian metacyclic Frobenius group of order $p^c q^d$.

Proof. Clearly $\text{NPP}(G) \subseteq Z(F(G))$, whence $F(G) = P \times Q$ with P and Q elementary abelian, as in (1). If $G = F(G)$, then G is not an Oliver group, contrary to assumption. Hence $G \neq F(G)$. Set $\overline{G} = G/F(G)$. If \overline{G} has r -rank greater than 1 for some prime r ,

then from Schur's Lemma it follows that $G \setminus F(G)$ contains elements of order rs for $s \in \{p, q\} \setminus \{r\}$, a contradiction to $\text{NPP}(G) \subseteq F(G)$. Hence \overline{G} has r -rank 1 for every prime divisor r of $|\overline{G}|$.

Suppose that $F(\overline{G}) = 1$. Then by the Feit-Thompson Theorem, \overline{G} has no nontrivial normal subgroup of odd order. Moreover \overline{G} has 2-rank 1, whence by the Brauer-Suzuki Theorem, $1 \neq Z(\overline{G}) \leq F(\overline{G})$, a contradiction. Thus $F(\overline{G}) \neq 1$ and, as $\text{NPP}(\overline{G}) = \emptyset$, $F(\overline{G}) = \overline{R}$ is an r -group of r -rank 1 for some prime r . Moreover note that $C_{\overline{G}}(Z(\overline{R}))$ is a normal r -subgroup of \overline{G} , whence $C_{\overline{G}}(Z(\overline{R})) = \overline{R}$. Moreover note that $\overline{G}/\overline{R}$ is isomorphic to a cyclic r' -subgroup of $\text{Aut}(Z(\overline{R}))$.

If $\overline{G} = \overline{R}$, then as G is an Oliver group, $r \notin \{p, q\}$ and (2) holds. Otherwise $\overline{G} = \overline{R} \rtimes \overline{C}$ with both \overline{R} and \overline{C} cyclic. As in Lemma 2.5, C is an s -group for some prime $s \neq r$. Choose $s \in \{p, q\} \setminus \{r\}$. As $\overline{R} \rtimes \overline{C}$ is a Frobenius group, $C_P(\overline{C}) \neq 1$ and so $s = p$. If also $r \neq q$, then the same argument would yield $s = q$, a contradiction. Hence $r = q$ and $s = p$ with $p < q$, whence (3) holds. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Suppose that G is a finite Oliver group with $r_G \leq 1$ and with $F(G)$ not a p -group. Then $F(G) \cong C_2^2 \times C_3$ and one of the following holds:*

- (1) $G \cong \text{Stab}_{A_7}(\{1, 2, 3\})$; or
- (2) $G \cong C_2^2 \rtimes D_9$.

Proof. We continue the notation of Lemma 2.9. Then $|\text{NPP}(G)| = (p^a - 1)(q^b - 1)$ and $\text{NPP}(G)$ is a union of one or two G -classes of equal cardinality.

If $|\overline{G}| = r^c$ for some prime r , and $R \in \text{Syl}_r(G)$, then $C_R(P) = 1 = C_R(Q)$, whence $r^c \leq \min\{p^a - 1, q^b - 1\}$. On the other hand, $(p^a - 1)(q^b - 1) \leq 2r^c$, a contradiction.

Hence $|\overline{G}| = p^c q^d$ with $p < q$. Let $F(\overline{G}) = \overline{R}$ with $|\overline{R}| = q^d$, and let R be the full preimage of \overline{R} in G . Then, as $F(G)$ is abelian, $1 \neq C_Q(R) \triangleleft G$. As all elements of $F(G)$ of order pq lie in the same real G -conjugacy class, this forces $C_Q(R) = Q$. Moreover \overline{R} acts semi-regularly on $P^\#$, whence q^d divides $p^a - 1$. Let $\overline{S} \in \text{Syl}_p(\overline{G})$. Then \overline{S} acts semi-regularly on $Q^\#$, whence p^c divides $q^b - 1$. Finally $(p^a - 1)(q^b - 1) = p^c q^d$ or $2p^c q^d$. If both p and q are odd, then 4 divides the left-hand side of the equation but not the right. Hence $p = 2$. Then both $q^b - 1$ and $q^d + 1$ are powers of 2, whence $q = q^d = 3$ and $p^a = 4$. As \overline{G} acts faithfully on P , it follows that $\overline{G} \cong S_3$. In particular $p^c = 2$, whence $q^b = 3$. Thus $F(G) \cong C_2^2 \times C_3$. Moreover, if $R_0 \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$, then $G = P \rtimes N_G(R_0)$ and R_0 is inverted by an involution in $N_G(R_0)$. Thus either (1) or (2) holds. \square

We keep our assumption that G is a finite Oliver group G , and we suppose that G is an EP group; i.e., all elements of $\text{NPP}(G)$ have the same order, and if K is a normal subgroup of G such that $K \cap \text{NPP}(G) \neq \emptyset$, then $\text{NPP}(G) \subseteq K$.

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that G is an EP group. Then one of the conclusions holds:*

- (1) $F^*(G)$ is a p -group for some prime p ; or
- (2) $F^*(G)$ is one of the nonabelian simple groups listed in Lemma 2.7; or
- (3) G is solvable and satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 2.9.

Moreover if $r_G \leq 1$, then either $F^*(G)$ is a p -group or one of the conclusions of Lemma 2.8 or 2.10 holds.

Proof. Suppose that L is a normal quasisimple subgroup of $F^*(G)$. By Burnside's $p^a q^b$ Theorem, there exist distinct primes p, q and r dividing $|L|$. Thus if $C_{F^*(G)}(L) \neq 1$, then $\text{NPP}(G)$ contains elements of two distinct orders, a contradiction. Hence $C_{F^*(G)}(L) = 1$, whence $L = F^*(G)$ is a nonabelian simple group and one of the conclusions of Lemma 2.7 (resp. 2.8) holds. On the other hand, if $F^*(G) = F(G)$, then either $F^*(G)$ is a p -group or one of the conclusions of Lemma 2.9 (resp. 2.10) holds, as claimed. \square

Henceforth, we shall assume that $F^*(G) = P$ is a p -group. Clearly $G \neq P$ and we set $\overline{G} = G/P$. Also we let L be the full pre-image in G of $F^*(\overline{G})$.

Lemma 2.12. *Suppose that G is an EP group. Then either \overline{L} is a q -group for some prime $q \neq p$ or \overline{L} is a nonabelian simple group.*

Proof. Suppose not. Arguing as in Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6, we see that \overline{G} is an EP group, provided that it is an Oliver group. If L is nonsolvable, then \overline{G} is an Oliver group, whence Lemma 2.11 applied to \overline{G} yields that \overline{L} is a nonabelian simple group.

Suppose that L is solvable but \overline{L} is not a q -group for any prime q . As all elements of $\text{NPP}(G)$ have the same order, $\overline{L} = \overline{Q} \times \overline{R}$ where Q is an elementary abelian q -group and R is an elementary abelian r -group for some primes q, r different from p . Moreover G contains no elements of order pq or pr , whence $|Q| = q$ and $|R| = r$. As $\overline{L} = F^*(\overline{G})$ and \overline{L} is cyclic of order qr , we conclude that G/L is abelian. As $\text{NPP}(G) \subseteq L$, in fact G/L is abelian of prime power order. But then as P is a p -group and L/P is cyclic, G is not an Oliver group, contrary to assumption. \square

Henceforth, we shall assume that G is a finite Oliver group with $r_G \leq 1$. In the next three lemmas, we shall treat the case where \overline{L} is a q -group.

Lemma 2.13. *Suppose that \bar{L} is a q -group of q -rank 1. Then $q = 2$ and $G = P \rtimes K$, where $K \cong SL(2, 3)$ or \hat{S}_4 and P is an abelian p -group of odd order inverted by the unique involution of K .*

Proof. Suppose first that \bar{L} is a cyclic q -group. As $\bar{L} = F^*(\bar{G})$, \bar{G}/\bar{L} is a cyclic q' -group and \bar{G} is a metacyclic Frobenius group with kernel \bar{L} . If $\bar{x} \in \bar{G} \setminus \bar{L}$, then $C_P(\bar{x}) \neq 1$, whence G contains elements of order pr , if r is the order of x and $(r, p) = 1$. In this case \bar{G}/\bar{L} has prime order r . Otherwise \bar{G}/\bar{L} is a p -group. In either case, as \bar{L} is cyclic, G is not an Oliver group, a contradiction.

Hence $\bar{L} \cong Q_8$ and $[\bar{G}, \bar{G}] \cong SL(2, 3)$. As $\text{NPP}(\bar{G}) \neq \emptyset$, P is inverted by z for any involution z of L . As $P = C_G(P)$, it follows that $G = P \rtimes K$ and K contains a unique involution, whence the lemma holds. \square

Lemma 2.14. *Suppose that \bar{L} is a q -group of q -rank greater than 1. Then \bar{G} is a solvable group without NPP elements. Moreover, P is a finite elementary abelian p -group and $H = P \rtimes Q \rtimes C$, where $L = P \rtimes Q$, $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$ and $N_G(Q) = Q \rtimes C$ is a Frobenius group with kernel Q and complement C a p -group.*

Proof. Let $Z = \{z \in Z(P) : z^p = 1\}$. Then Z is a nontrivial elementary abelian normal p -subgroup of G . Let E be an elementary q -subgroup of L of q -rank greater than 1. By Schur's Lemma, $\text{NPP}(L)$ contains an element x of order pq with $x^q \in Z$. Hence $\text{NPP}(G/Z) = \emptyset$ and so, applying Schur's Lemma again in G/Z , we conclude that $P = Z$. If $L = G$, then G is not an Oliver group, contrary to assumption. Thus $L \neq G$.

Let $L = P \rtimes Q$, $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(L)$. Suppose that $C_P(Q) = A \neq 1$. Then every element $x \in \text{NPP}(G)$ satisfies $x^q \in A$. But then $C_P(e) = A$ for all $e \in E^\#$, whence $P = A$ by Schur's Lemma. But then $Q \leq C_G(P) = P$, a contradiction. Hence $C_P(Q) = 1$ and so $N_G(Q)$ is a complement to P in G , by a Frattini argument.

Let $N = N_G(Q)$. Then N is without NPP elements. Suppose that r is a prime divisor of $|N|$ with $r \notin \{p, q\}$ and let R be a nontrivial r -subgroup of N . As $\text{NPP}(N) = \emptyset$, $Q \rtimes R$ is a Frobenius group with kernel Q acting faithfully on P . Hence $C_P(R) \neq 1$, a contradiction. Hence N is a $\{p, q\}$ -group. In particular, N is solvable by Burnside's theorem and so Lemma 2.5 applies to N , yielding that either $N = Q \rtimes C$ is a Frobenius group with kernel Q and complement C a p -group, as claimed, or $N = Q \rtimes C \rtimes A$ with C a cyclic p -group and A a cyclic q -group disjoint from Q (as $CA = N_N(C)$ is a complement to Q in N). Suppose the latter and let $y \in A$ of order q and $z \in Q \cap Z(QA)$ of order q .

Then $U = \langle y, z \rangle \cong C_q \times C_q$ and so $P = \langle C_P(u) : u \in U^\# \rangle$ by Schur's Lemma. However $\text{NPP}(G) \subseteq L$ and $U \cap L = \langle z \rangle$, whence $C_P(u) = 1$ for all $u \in U \setminus \langle z \rangle$. Thus $P = C_P(z)$, a contradiction. \square

Finally, we complete the analysis of the case when \bar{L} is a q -group.

Lemma 2.15. *Suppose that $G = P \rtimes Q \rtimes C$ as in Lemma 2.14. Then one of the following conclusions hold:*

- (1) $P \cong C_3^3$ and $QC \cong A_4$; or
- (2) $P \cong C_2^4$, $PQ \cong A_4 \times A_4$ and $C \cong C_4$; or
- (3) $P \cong C_2^8$ and $QC \cong (C_3 \times C_3) \rtimes C_8$; or
- (4) $P \cong C_2^8$ and $QC \cong (C_3 \times C_3) \rtimes Q_8$.

Proof. Let $x \in Q$ of order q with $C_P(x) = V$ of maximum order. The elements of $V^\#x$ are in $\text{NPP}(G)$. As $(vx)^{-1} \in Vx^{-1}$, either $C_G(x)$ is transitive on $V^\#x$ or $q = 2$ and $C_G(x)$ has two equal-sized orbits on $V^\#x$. In any case, as QC is a Frobenius group, $C_G(x) = VC_Q(x)$ and $V\langle x \rangle$ acts trivially on $V^\#x$. Hence $|V^\#| = |V^\#x| = q^b$ for some $b \geq 0$. Let $|V| = p^a$. Then either $p = 2$ and $b = 1$ or $q = 2$ and $p^a \in \{p, 9\}$. In all cases we set $Z = \{z \in Z(Q) : z^q = 1\}$. Thus Z is a normal elementary abelian q -subgroup of QC and $PZ \triangleleft G$.

Suppose first that $q = 2$. As ZC is a Frobenius group, Z contains a Klein 4-subgroup U and so $\text{NPP}(G) \leq PZ \triangleleft G$. Thus in particular $x \in Z$. Suppose further that $|V| = p$. Then $|P| \leq p^3$. As P is a faithful QC -module, it follows that $|C| \leq \dim V \leq 3$, whence $p = 3 = \dim V$ and $P \cong C_3^3$. As QC is isomorphic to a Frobenius $\{2, 3\}$ -subgroup of $SL(3, 3)$, it follows that $QC \cong A_4$, and (1) holds.

Next suppose that $q = 2$ and $|V| = 9$. Note that $C_Q(v) \leq Z$ for all $v \in P^\#$. In particular $C_Q(V) = A$ is an elementary abelian q -group with $C_P(a) = V$ for all $a \in A^\#$, by maximal choice of V . If $A \neq \langle x \rangle$, then by Schur's Lemma, $P = V$, a contradiction. Hence $C_Q(V) = \langle x \rangle$ and $Q/\langle x \rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $GL(V) \cong GL(2, 3)$. In particular $|Q| \leq 32$. As C is fixed point free on Q , $|Q| = 2^m$, m even. As $|Q/Z| \leq 4$, $[Q, Q]$ is cyclic, whence $[Q, Q] = 1$ and $Q \cong C_2^2$, C_2^3 or $C_4 \times C_4$. On the other hand, $Q/\langle x \rangle$ is isomorphic to an abelian subgroup of $GL(2, 3)$ of order 8, whence $Q/\langle x \rangle \cong C_8$, a contradiction.

Finally suppose that $p = 2$ and $|V| = 2^a = q + 1$. As QC is a Frobenius group with C a 2-group, Q is abelian. Note that C permutes the set $\mathcal{Z} = \{z \in Z^\# : C_P(z) \neq 1\}$ in one

or two equal-sized orbits. As $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ if and only if $\langle z \rangle^\# \leq \mathcal{Z}$, it follows that $|\mathcal{Z}| = k(q-1)$, $k \geq 1$. But also, as $|C|$ is a power of 2, $|\mathcal{Z}| = 2^c$ for some $c \geq 1$. Hence $q = 2^d + 1 = 2^a - 1$, whence $q = 3$ and $|V| = 4$. Now P is a completely reducible Z -module and as before $C_P(Z) = 1$, whence $P = P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_r$ with P_i an irreducible Z -module and $|P_i| = 4$ for all i . Then $C_Z(P_1 \oplus P_2) = 1$, whence Q acts faithfully on $P_1 \oplus P_2 \cong C_2^4$. Hence $Q = Z \cong C_3 \times C_3$. As QC is a Frobenius group, either $C \cong Q_8$ or C is cyclic with $|C| \leq 8$. In any case the involution of C inverts Q . By Schur's Lemma, at least two cyclic subgroups of Q have non-trivial fixed points on P and, as $r_G = 1$, C permutes these subgroups transitively. Hence $|C| \geq 4$. If $|C| = 4$, then only two cyclic subgroups of Q have non-trivial fixed points on P and so $|P| = 16$ and $L \cong A_4 \times A_4$. Thus (2) holds.

If $|C| = 8$, then $\dim V \geq 8$. On the other hand, $\dim V \leq 8$ as Q has only four cyclic subgroups of order 3. Therefore, equality holds and G is as described either in (3) or (4), completing the proof. \square

We have now completed the analysis of the case where \bar{L} is a q -group. Thus, for the remainder of the analysis, we may assume that \bar{L} is a nonabelian simple group.

Lemma 2.16. *Suppose that the p -group P is of odd order. Then P is elementary abelian and one of the following conclusions holds:*

- (1) $p = 3$ and $\bar{G} \cong PSL(2, q)$, $q \in \{5, 7, 9, 17\}$, or $\bar{G} \cong M_{10}$; or
- (2) $p = 7$ and $\bar{G} \cong SL(2, 8)$ or $Sz(8)$; or
- (3) $p = 31$ and $\bar{G} \cong Sz(32)$.

Proof. Let $Z = \{z \in Z(P) : z^p = 1\}$. Then by Schur's Lemma, G contains an element x of order $2p$ with $x^2 \in Z$ and so every element of $NPP(G)$ has this property. In particular $P = Z$ is elementary abelian, as usual. Moreover $NPP(\bar{G}) = \emptyset$ and so $\bar{G} \cong PSL(2, q)$, $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 17\}$, M_{10} , $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$ or $PSL(3, 4)$.

If \bar{G} contains a subgroup isomorphic to $C_3 \times C_3$ or to A_4 , then G contains elements of order $3p$ and so $p = 3$. Thus if $p > 3$, then $G \cong SL(2, 8)$, $Sz(8)$ or $Sz(32)$, as claimed. Moreover consideration of the Borel subgroups of \bar{G} in these cases shows that $p = 7, 7$ or 31 , respectively, as claimed.

Suppose finally that $p = 3$. If $\bar{G} \cong SL(2, 8)$, $Sz(8)$, $Sz(32)$ or $PSL(3, 4)$, then \bar{L} contains a Frobenius group with kernel a 2-group and complement of order 7, 7, 31 or 5, respectively. But then G would contain elements of order 21, 21, 93 or 15, respectively, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.17. $F^*(G)$ is a 2-group.

Proof. Suppose first that $p > 3$. Let $U \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$. Then by consideration of the Frobenius group $\overline{B} = N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{U})$, we have $\dim(P) \geq p$. Hence if $V = C_P(z)$ for $z \in Z(U)^\#$, then $\dim(V) \geq \frac{1}{3}p > 2$. On the other hand $C_G(z)/V\langle z \rangle$ permutes $V^\#$ in at most two equal orbits. Hence $p^3 - 1 \leq |U|$, which is false in all cases.

Thus we may assume that $p = 3$ and $G \cong PSL(2, 5), PSL(2, 7), PSL(2, 9), PSL(2, 17)$ or M_{10} and with $\dim(P) \geq 4, 6, 4, 16, 8$, respectively. Again let $U \in \text{Syl}_2(G)$, $z \in Z(U)^\#$ and $V = C_P(z)$. Then $C_G(z) = VU$. Thus, as above, if $\dim(V) = d$, then $3^d - 1$ is a power of 2, whence $d \leq 2$ and so $\dim(P) \leq 3d \leq 6$. Hence $\dim(V) = 2$, $\dim(P) \leq 6$ and $|U| \geq 8$. Thus $\overline{G} \cong PSL(2, 7)$ or $PSL(2, 9)$. However, in both cases, $U/\langle z \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$, which cannot act semiregularly on $V^\#$ by Schur's Lemma, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.18. One of the following conclusions holds:

- (1) $\overline{G} \cong PSL(2, q)$, $q \in \{5, 7, 8, 9, 17\}$; or
- (2) $\overline{G} \cong Sz(8), Sz(32), PSL(3, 4), PGL(2, 5)$ or M_{10} .

Proof. If $\text{NPP}(\overline{G}) = \emptyset$, then \overline{G} is listed above. So we may assume that $\text{NPP}(\overline{G}) \neq \emptyset$. Then G has no element x of odd order with $C_P(x) \neq 1$. In particular, by Schur's Lemma, G has a cyclic Sylow 3-subgroup, whence $\overline{G} \cong PGL(2, 5), PGL(2, 7), PSL(2, 11)$ or $PSL(2, 13)$. In the last three cases, \overline{G} contains a Frobenius subgroup of order 21, 55 or 39, respectively, whence G contains elements x of order 3, 5, 3 respectively with $C_P(x) \neq 1$, a contradiction. Hence $\overline{G} \cong PGL(2, 5)$. \square

Lemma 2.19. Suppose that $\overline{G} \in \{PSL(2, 7), PSL(2, 9), PSL(2, 17), PSL(3, 4), M_{10}\}$.

Then one of the following conclusions holds:

- (1) $P \cong C_2^3$ and $\overline{G} \cong GL(3, 2)$; or
- (2) $P \cong C_2^4$ and $\overline{G} \cong A_6 \cong Sp(4, 2)'$; or
- (3) $P \cong C_2^8$ and $\overline{G} \cong M_{10}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\overline{G} \cong PSL(2, 9), M_{10}$ or $PSL(3, 4)$. Let $T \in \text{Syl}_3(G)$. Then $T \cong C_3 \times C_3$ and $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{T}) = \overline{T} \rtimes \overline{Q}$ with $\overline{Q} \cong C_4, Q_8, Q_8$, respectively, and with $\overline{T} \rtimes \overline{Q}$ a Frobenius group. Hence $\dim(P) \geq 4, 8, 8$, respectively. On the other hand, if $x \in T^\#$ and $V = C_P(x)$, then $C_G(x) = VT$ acts transitively on $V^\#$, whence $\dim(V) \leq 2$. As \overline{Q} transitively permutes the set \mathcal{T} of non-identity cyclic subgroups $\langle y \rangle$ of T with $C_P(y) \neq 1$, we have that $|\mathcal{T}| = 2, 4, 4$, respectively. Hence $\dim(P) \leq 4, 8, 8$, respectively, whence

equality holds in all cases. But then if $\overline{G} \cong PSL(3, 4)$ and $g \in G$ of order 7, then $C_G(y) \neq 1$, whence G contains elements of order 6 and 14, a contradiction.

Next suppose that $\overline{G} \cong PSL(2, 7)$. Let $x \in G$ of order 3. Then $\langle x \rangle$ is a Frobenius complement in a subgroup F of order 21, whence $C_P(x) \neq 1$. Thus G contains elements of order 6 and so G contains no elements of order 14. Thus P is a sum of faithful F -module, hence a sum of free $\langle x \rangle$ -modules. On the other hand, as $C_G(x) = C_P(x)\langle x \rangle$, we must have $|C_P(x)| = 2$. Hence P is a single free $\langle x \rangle$ -module, i.e. $|P| = 8$.

Finally suppose that $\overline{G} \cong PSL(2, 17)$. By inspection of the 2-modular character table for \overline{G} , we see that if $x \in G$ of order 3, then $\dim(C_P(x)) \geq 3$. But $C_G(x) = C_P(x)X$ with $|X| = 9$, whence $C_G(x)$ is not transitive on $C_P(x)^\#$, a contradiction. \square

Now, we can complete the proof of Theorem 2.1 as follows. The possibilities for \overline{G} listed in Lemma 2.18 and not discussed in Lemma 2.19 are precisely those groups listed in the final conclusion of the Classification Theorem. For each of these cases, if $x \in G$ of odd order, then $\tilde{C} = C_G(x)/\langle C_V(x), x \rangle$ must transitively permute $C_P(x)^\#$. However $|\tilde{C}| \leq 2$, except in the cases when $\overline{G} = SL(2, 8)$ or $Sz(32)$ and both x and \tilde{C} have order $p = 3$ or 5 , respectively. Consideration of the 2-modular representations of these two groups shows that if W is a nontrivial irreducible 2-modular representation of \overline{G} with $C_W(x) \neq 0$, then $|C_W(x)| > p + 1$, and so \tilde{C} cannot act transitively on $C_P(x)^\#$. Thus in all of these cases, we must have $C_V(x) = 0$ for all $x \in G$ of odd order. Let $H = G^2$. Thus $H = G$ in all cases, except when $\overline{G} = \Sigma L(2, 4) \cong S_5$. Then by the above remarks, H is a CP group and so by the theorem of Bannuscher-Tiedt [BT], the structure of H is as specified in the Classification Theorem, proving Theorem 2.1. \square

The arguments of this section yield in particular the following corollary.

Corollary 2.20. *A finite nonabelian simple group G with the rank integer $r_G \leq 1$ is isomorphic to one of the following groups:*

$$r_G = 0 : PSL(2, q) \text{ for } q = 5, 7, 8, 9, 17, \text{ or } PSL(3, 4), Sz(8), Sz(32),$$

$$r_G = 1 : PSL(2, 11), PSL(2, 13), PSL(3, 3), A_7, M_{11}, M_{22}.$$

3. Finite nonsolvable groups G with $r_G = \overline{r}_{G/G^{\text{sol}}}$

In this section, we assume that G is a finite nonsolvable group, and we set $H = G^{\text{sol}}$. We always have $r_G \geq \overline{r}_{G/H}$. We analyze the situation where $r_G = \overline{r}_{G/H}$, and this means that each coset gH meets at most one real conjugacy class $(x)^{\pm 1}$ with $x \in \text{NPP}(G)$.

The main goal of this section is to prove the following proposition which contains our next major result about the rank interger r_G .

Proposition 3.1. *Let G be a finite nonsolvable group and let $H = G^{\text{sol}}$. If $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H}$, then either $r_G \leq 1$ or one of the following conclusions holds:*

- (1) $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$ and $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H} = 2$; or
- (2) $G = P\Sigma L(2, 27)$ and $r_G = \bar{r}_{G/H} = 2$.

The proof will proceed via a sequence of lemmas. As the arguments are very similar to those in Section 2, we shall be a bit sketchy. We remark first that if $H = G$, then $\bar{r}_{G/H} \leq 1$ and there is nothing to prove. Hence we may assume that $H < G$. We let S denote the solvable radical of G , i.e. the largest normal solvable subgroup of G .

Lemma 3.2. *$S \leq H$ and $G/S \cong PGL(2, 5)$, $PGL(2, 7)$, $P\Sigma L(2, 8)$, M_{10} , $\text{Aut}(A_6)$, $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$ or $PSL(3, 4)^*$.*

Proof. Let S_0 be the solvable radical of H and $\bar{G} = G/S_0$. As G is nonsolvable, \bar{G} has a subnormal nonabelian simple subgroup $\bar{L} \leq \bar{H}$. By Lemmas 1.5(3) and 1.7, $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L}) = 1$. Hence $\bar{L} = F^*(\bar{G}) \neq \bar{G}$. Then the possibilities for \bar{G} follow from Lemma 2.8. As $\bar{S} = 1$, $S_0 = S$ and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Either $S = 1$ or S is a p -group for some prime p .*

Proof. Suppose that $S \neq 1$. By Lemma 2.9, $F(G)$ is a p -group for some prime p . Let $\bar{G} = G/F(G)$ and $\bar{L} = F^*(\bar{G})$. Suppose that \bar{L} is a nonabelian simple group. Then as G has only one nonabelian composition factor by Lemma 3.2, $H \leq L$, where L is the pre-image of \bar{L} in G . Then $S = F(G)$ is a p -group, as claimed.

Thus by Lemma 2.12, we may assume that \bar{L} is a q -group for some prime $q \neq p$. As $C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{L}) \leq \bar{L}$, it follows that $\text{Aut}(\bar{L})$ is nonsolvable, whence \bar{L} has q -rank at least 2. Thus L contains elements of order pq and so every NPP element of H lies in L . Since either p or q is odd, it follows that H/L has 2-rank 1. But then by the Brauer-Suzuki Theorem, H/L contains NPP elements, whence so does $H \setminus L$, a contradiction. \square

If $S = 1$, the conclusions of the theorem may be readily verified. Thus, it remains to prove that $S = 1$. We assume the contrary and argue to a contradiction. We set

$$\bar{G} = G/S \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{H} = H/S.$$

Lemma 3.4. *S is either a 2-group or an elementary abelian p -group for some odd prime p . If S is a p -group with p odd, then every NPP element of H has order $2p$.*

Proof. As \overline{H} contains a Klein 4-group, the usual argument yields the result. \square

Lemma 3.5. *\overline{H} is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, 27)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\overline{H} \cong PSL(2, 27)$. Then H contains an element x of order 14 with $x^{14} \notin S$. Hence H has no NPP element y with $y^r \in S$ for $r \in \{2, 3\}$. However as \overline{H} has 2-rank 2 and 3-rank 3, this contradicts Schur's Lemma for $r \neq p$. \square

Lemma 3.6. *S is a 2-group and \overline{G} is not isomorphic to M_{10} .*

Proof. Suppose first that S is a 2-group and $\overline{G} \cong M_{10}$. Then every element of $G \setminus H$ is a 2-element, whence $\overline{r}_{G/H} \leq 1$, contrary to hypothesis.

Thus it remains to prove that S is a 2-group. Suppose not. Then S is an elementary abelian p -group for some odd prime p . Suppose that there is an involution $x \in G \setminus H$. Then by inspection x centralizes a coset Hy of odd order, whence Hx contains NPP elements outside Sx . But then Sx contains no NPP elements, i.e. x inverts S and $H = [H, x]$ centralizes S , a contradiction. Thus there is no involution in $G \setminus H$, and therefore we have the following two possibilities: $\overline{G} \cong P\Sigma L(2, 8)$ or M_{10} .

Suppose that $\overline{G} \cong P\Sigma L(2, 8)$. As \overline{H} contains a Frobenius group of order 56, S must be a 7-group and H must contain elements of order 14. Thus a 3-element of H acts without fixed points on S . But then by Schur's Lemma, some element $x \in G \setminus H$ of order 3 must have fixed points on S and so Hx contains elements of orders 6 and 21, a contradiction.

Finally suppose that $\overline{G} \cong M_{10}$. Then \overline{H} contains an A_4 -subgroup and therefore S is a 3-group and the NPP elements of H have order 6. Let t be an involution of H . As H contains only one real G -class of NPP elements, $C_G(t)$ must permute transitively the nonidentity elements of $C_S(t)$. Now $|C_G(t)/C_S(t)| = 16$ and $C_S(t)$ acts trivially on itself by conjugation. Hence $|C_S(t)| \leq 9$. Moreover, as H contains elements of order 6, H contains no elements of order 15. Hence, an element of H of order 5 acts fixed point freely on S , whence $\dim(S)$ is a multiple of 4. By Schur's Lemma, on the other hand, $\dim(S) \leq 3 \dim(C_S(t))$, whence $|C_S(t)| = 9$. Let T be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G with $t \in Z(T)$. As t acts trivially on $C_S(t)$, $T/\langle t \rangle$ must act regularly on the eight elements of $C_S(t) \setminus \{1\}$. But $T/\langle t \rangle$ is a dihedral group of order 8 and hence has no such regular action, a final contradiction. \square

In all the lemmas below (Lemmas 3.7–3.11), x will be an element of H of order 3 with $U = C_S(x)$ and with $|U| = 2^a > 1$, if possible. We set $\tilde{C} = C_G(x)/\langle U, x \rangle$.

Lemma 3.7. *U is elementary abelian and \tilde{C} transitively permutes the set $Ux \setminus \{x\}$ of cardinality $2^a - 1$. Moreover no chief H -factor in S is a trivial \overline{H} -module.*

Proof. As $|H/S|$ is divisible by at least two odd primes, but H has NPP elements of order $2p$ for at most one odd prime p , no chief H -factor in S is a trivial \overline{H} -module.

If $U = 1$, the lemma holds trivially. Suppose $U \neq 1$. As all NPP elements of H have order 6, all elements of U have order 2 and so U is elementary abelian. Moreover all elements of $Ux \setminus \{x\}$ are G -conjugate, hence $C_G(x)$ -conjugate and as $\langle U, x \rangle$ is contained in the kernel of the conjugation action on Ux , the lemma follows. \square

Lemma 3.8. *\overline{G} is not isomorphic to $PGL(2, 5)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\overline{G} \cong PGL(2, 5)$. As $\overline{\tau}_{G/H} = 2$, H must contain NPP elements. By Lemma 3.7, we see that every chief H -factor of S is isomorphic to a 4-dimensional irreducible H/S -module. Thus if $y \in H$ of order 5, we have $C_S(y) = 1$. Hence H must have elements of order 6 and so with x and U as above, $U \neq 1$. Indeed some chief H -factor of S , say V , is a permutation module for \overline{H} with $|C_V(x)| = 4$. Thus $|U| \geq 4$. But $|\tilde{C}| = 2$ and so \tilde{C} does not act transitively on $Ux \setminus \{x\}$, contrary to Lemma 3.7. \square

Lemma 3.9. *\overline{H} is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, 7)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\overline{H} \cong PSL(2, 7)$. As \tilde{C} is a 2-group acting transitively on the involutions of U , $|U| \leq 2$. According to [GLS2; 2.8.10], the nontrivial irreducible $GL(3, 2)$ modules are the standard 3-dimensional module V , its dual V^* and the Steinberg module, which is the nontrivial constituent of $V \otimes V^*$. As a 3-element of $GL(3, 2)$ has 1-dimensional fixed point space on V and V^* and 2-dimensional fixed point space on the Steinberg module, it follows that S has a unique irreducible composition factor and this has dimension 3, i.e. $S \cong V$ or V^* as \overline{H} -module. But then as $C_G(S) = S$ and $\text{Aut}(S) \cong H/S$, $G = H$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.10. *\overline{H} is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, 8)$.*

Proof. Suppose not and let $y \in G \setminus H$ be an element of order 3. Then yS lies in a complement of a Frobenius subgroup of G/S of order 21. Hence there exists $ty \in Hy$ of order 6 with $(ty)^3 \in S$. However there also exists $sy \in Hy$ of order 6 with $(sy)^3 \in H \setminus S$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3.11. \overline{H} is not isomorphic to $PSL(2, 9)$ or $PSL(3, 4)$.

Proof. Suppose not. Then either $\overline{G} \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $\overline{H} \cong PSL(3, 4)$ with $|G : H| = 2$. In either case, $|\tilde{C}| = 6$. Again as \tilde{C} acts transitively on the involutions of U , we conclude that $|U| \leq 4$. Let E be a Sylow 3-subgroup of H . Then $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $N_G(E)$ transitively permutes the elements of E of order 3. Hence $|C_T(y)| \leq 4$ for all $y \in E \setminus \{1\}$ and so, by Schur's Lemma, $|S| \leq 2^8$.

Suppose that $\overline{H} \cong PSL(3, 4)$. Then $G \setminus H$ contains an element γ such that $\overline{\gamma}$ has order 2 and centralizes $N_{\overline{H}}(\overline{E})$ and $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{E}) = \overline{EQ} \times \langle \overline{\gamma} \rangle$, where Q is a quaternion group of order 8 transitively permuting the nonidentity elements of E . Now \overline{EQ} acts faithfully on $C_S(\gamma)$ by Thompson's $A \times B$ Lemma [GLS1; 11.7]. However, by Clifford Theory, a faithful \overline{EQ} module must have dimension at least 8. As $\dim S \leq 8$, this would force $C_S(\gamma) = S$, which is absurd. Hence $\overline{G} \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$. Again $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{E})$ contains a subgroup \overline{EQ} with $\overline{Q} \cong Q_8$, as above. Thus $\dim(S) = 8$, $C_S(E) = 1$ and S is a faithful irreducible $N_G(E)$ -module. In particular E acts nontrivially on $U = C_T(x) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ and so $UE \cong A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Now \overline{G} contains a subgroup isomorphic to S_6 and so, by inspection, $N_G(E)$ contains an involution t centralizing x such that $E\langle t \rangle \cong S_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. Then $UE\langle t \rangle \cong S_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ with $x \in Z(UE\langle t \rangle)$. But then the coset Ht contains elements of order 6 and 12, whence $r_G > \overline{r}_{G/H}$, contrary to assumption. \square

Now, the proof of Proposition 3.1 can be completed immediately by the results above. In fact, having exhausted all possible structures for G/S , we conclude that $S = 1$ and therefore the proof of Proposition 3.1 is complete. \square

Now, using the rank integer r_G , we are able to determine completely the cases where $IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \neq 0$ for finite nonsolvable groups G .

Corollary 3.12. For a finite nonsolvable group G , the following conclusions hold:

- (1) $IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) = 0$ for $r_G \leq 1$,
- (2) $IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \neq 0$ for $r_G \geq 2$, except when $G \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, and
- (3) $IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) = 0$ and $r_G = 2$ when $G \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$.

Proof. Set $H = G^{\text{sol}}$. If $r_G \leq 1$, then $r_G = \overline{r}_{G/H}$, and thus $IO(G, H) = 0$ by Lemma 1.3. If $r_G \geq 2$, then except when $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, $r_G > \overline{r}_{G/H}$ by Proposition 3.1, and thus $IO(G, H) \neq 0$ by Lemma 1.3. For $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, $r_G = \overline{r}_{G/H} = 2$ by Proposition 3.1, and thus $IO(G, H) = 0$ by Lemma 1.3. \square

4. Oliver equivalence of G -modules

Let G be a finite group. According to [O1] or [O3], there exists a smooth action of G on a disk with exactly two fixed points if and only if G is an Oliver group.

Let G be a finite Oliver group. Similarly as in the case of Smith equivalence notion, two real G -modules U and V are called *Oliver equivalent* if there exists a smooth action of G on a disk D^n with exactly two fixed points x and y , such that as real G -modules, $T_x(D^n) \cong U$ and $T_y(D^n) \cong V$.

We denote by $Dk(G)$ the subset of $RO(G)$ which is formed by taking the differences $U - V$ represented by two Oliver equivalent real G -modules U and V .

As we point out in the proof, the following theorem goes back to [O3, Theorem 0.4].

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a finite Oliver group. Then $PO(G) \subseteq Dk(G)$.*

Proof. Take an element $U - V \in PO(G)$. Then the real G -modules U and V are isomorphic as P -modules for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, and by subtracting the trivial summands U^G and V^G from U and V , respectively, we may assume that $\dim U = \dim V = 0$. Now, according to [O3, Theorem 0.4], there exists a smooth action of G on a disk with exactly two fixed points at which the tangent G -modules are isomorphic to $U \oplus W$ and $V \oplus W$ for some real G -module W with $\dim W^G = 0$. Therefore $U - V = (U \oplus W) - (V \oplus W) \in Dk(G)$, proving that $PO(G) \subseteq Dk(G)$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a finite Oliver group. Then $Dk(G) = PO(G)$.*

Proof. The inclusion $Dk(G) \subseteq PO(G)$ holds by Smith theory and the Slice Theorem, and thus $Dk(G) = PO(G)$ by Theorem 4.1. \square

Corollary 4.3. *For a finite Oliver group G , any two Oliver equivalent real G -modules are isomorphic if and only if G is listed in the Classification Theorem, (1)–(13).*

Proof. According to Lemma 0.1 and Corollary 4.2, $Dk(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G \leq 1$, and in (1)–(13), the Classification Theorem lists all finite Oliver groups G with $r_G \leq 1$. \square

Let G be a finite group. Following [LM], consider the real G -module

$$V(G) = (\mathbb{R}[G] - \mathbb{R}) - \bigoplus_{p \mid |G|} (\mathbb{R}[G]^{G^p} - \mathbb{R})$$

where $\mathbb{R}[G]$ is the real regular G -module, the G^p -fixed point set $\mathbb{R}[G]^{G^p}$ has the canonical action of G , and the subtracted summands \mathbb{R} all have the trivial actions of G .

The real G -module $V(G)$ has a number of useful properties that we use for constructing of smooth G -actions on disks and spheres. Here, we just recall that if G is a finite Oliver group, then $\mathcal{P}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$ and $V(G)$ is \mathcal{L} -free (cf. [LM]).

In the proof of the Realization Theorem, we use the following theorem which allows us to obtain Oliver equivalent real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules.

Theorem 4.4. *Let G be a finite Oliver group. For an integer $k \geq 1$, let V_1, \dots, V_k be real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules of dimension $d \geq 0$, such that $V_i - V_j \in IO(G)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Then, for a sufficiently large integer ℓ , there exists a smooth action of G on the n -disk D^n for $n = d + \ell \dim V(G)$, with exactly k fixed points at which the tangent G -modules are isomorphic to $V_1 \oplus \ell V(G), \dots, V_k \oplus \ell V(G)$.*

Proof. The result follows by arguing as in [MP2, the proof of Theorem 0.3] in the case where G is an Oliver group. \square

5. Smith equivalence of G -modules

In this section, we prove the Realization Theorem stated in the introduction.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a finite Oliver gap group. Then $LO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$.*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 follows from Theorem 4.4 and a number of results which we collect below. The key results are obtained in Theorem 5.5 and Corollary 5.9.

First, we recall that by using the equivariant surgery developed in [BM], [LMP], [LM], and [Mo1]–[Mo3], so called “deleting–inserting” theorems are proved in [LMP, Theorem 2.2] for a finite nonsolvable group G , and in [Mo3, Theorems 0.1 and 4.1] for a finite Oliver group G . These theorems allow us to modify a smooth action of G on a sphere S^n or a disk D^n with fixed point set F , in such a way that the resulting smooth action of G on S^n or D^n has a new fixed point set obtained from F by deleting or inserting a number of connected components of F . Here, we restate only the “deleting part” of [Mo3] for action on spheres in a modified form presented in [MP3, Theorem 3.1], where the G -orientation condition of [Mo3] is replaced by the \mathcal{P} -orientation condition of [MP3].

Let G be a finite group. A real G -module V is called G -oriented if V^H is oriented for each subgroup H of G , and the transformations $g : V^H \rightarrow V^H$ preserve orientation for all $g \in N_G(P)$. A real G -module V is called \mathcal{P} -oriented if V^P is oriented for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, and the transformations $g : V^P \rightarrow V^P$ preserve orientation for all $g \in N_G(P)$.

If a real G -module V is the realification of a complex G -module, then V is G -oriented. In particular, for any real G -module V , the G -module $V \oplus V$ is \mathcal{P} -oriented as it is the realification of the complexification of V .

Let M be a smooth manifold with the trivial action of G . A real G -vector bundle ν over M is called \mathcal{P} -oriented if each fiber of ν is \mathcal{P} -oriented as a real G -module. Similarly, a real G -vector bundle ν over M is called \mathcal{L} -free if each fiber of ν is \mathcal{L} -free as a real G -module.

For a G -space X , denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\text{iso}}(G; X)$ the family of isotropy subgroups G_x of G that occur at points $x \in X$. Given a subgroup H of G , set $X^=H = \{x \in X \mid G_x = H\}$.

For a finite group G , denote by $\mathcal{PC}(G)$ the family of subgroups H of G with a normal subgroup $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ such that H/P is cyclic. If G is a finite Oliver group, then by [LM], $\mathcal{PC}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$ and thus $\mathcal{P}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 5.2. ([Mo3], [MP3]) *Let G be a finite Oliver group. Let F be the disjoint union of two closed smooth manifolds X and Y . Assume that there exists a smooth action of G on a homotopy n -sphere Σ^n such that $(\Sigma^n)^G = F$ and the following four conditions hold.*

- (1) *The equivariant normal bundle $\nu_{F \subset \Sigma^n}$ is \mathcal{P} -oriented and \mathcal{L} -free.*
- (2) *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, $(\Sigma^n)^P$ is simply connected.*
- (3) *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ and $H \in \mathcal{PC}(G)$, $\dim(\Sigma^n)^P \geq 5$ and $\dim(\Sigma^n)^=H \geq 2$.*
- (4) *For each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ and $H \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ with $P < H$, $\dim(\Sigma^n)^P > 2 \dim(\Sigma^n)^H$.*

Then there exists a smooth action of G on the standard n -sphere S^n such that $(S^n)^G = X$ and $\nu_{X \subset S^n} \cong \nu_{X \subset \Sigma^n}$ as G -vector bundles. Moreover, for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, $(S^n)^P$ is simply connected and $\dim(S^n)^P = \dim(\Sigma^n)^P$.

For two subgroups P and H of G , the pair (P, H) is called *proper* if $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ and P is a proper subgroup of H . Moreover, a proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G is called *odd* if $|H : P| = |HG^2 : PG^2| = 2$ and $PG^p = G$ for all odd primes p . Finally, a proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G is called *even* if (P, H) is not odd.

As in [MSY], for a real G -module V and a proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G , we set $d_V(P, H) = \dim V^P - 2 \dim V^H$. By [LM, Theorem 2.3], the following lemma holds.

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a finite group. For a proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G ,*

- (1) *$d_{V(G)}(P, H) = 0$ when (P, H) is odd, and*
- (2) *$d_{V(G)}(P, H) > 0$ when (P, H) is even.*

A real G -module V is called a *gap* G -module if $d_V(P, H) > 0$ for each proper pair

(P, H) of subgroups of G . Recall that by definition, a finite group G is a gap group if $\mathcal{P}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$ and G has a real \mathcal{L} -free gap G -module.

As noted above, if G is a finite Oliver group, then $\mathcal{P}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$ and the real G -module $V(G)$ is \mathcal{L} -free. If in addition $G^p \neq G$ and $G^q \neq G$ for two distinct odd primes p and q , or $G = G^2$, then any proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G is even (cf. [MSY]), and thus $V(G)$ is a gap G -module by Lemma 5.3, and so G is a gap group.

Now, we show that any finite gap group G has a real \mathcal{L} -free gap G -module which in addition is G -oriented (cf. the appendix) and contains $V(G)$ as a direct summand.

Lemma 5.4. *Let G be a finite gap group and let V be a real \mathcal{L} -free gap G -module. Then $2V \oplus 2V(G)$ is a real G -oriented (and thus \mathcal{P} -oriented) \mathcal{L} -free gap G -module.*

Proof. Clearly, the G -module $2V \oplus 2V(G)$ is both G -oriented and \mathcal{L} -free. Moreover, for each proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G , $d_V(P, H) > 0$ as V is a gap G -module, and $d_{V(G)}(P, H) \geq 0$ by Lemma 5.3. So, for each proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G ,

$$d_{2V \oplus 2V(G)}(P, H) = 2d_V(P, H) + 2d_{V(G)}(P, H) > 0,$$

proving that $2V \oplus 2V(G)$ is a gap G -module. \square

Now, using Theorem 5.2, we obtain a result for actions on spheres similar to that one obtained in Theorem 4.4 for actions on disks.

Theorem 5.5. *Let G be a finite Oliver gap group. Let V be a real \mathcal{P} -oriented \mathcal{L} -free gap G -module containing $V(G)$ as a direct summand. For an integer $k \geq 1$, let V_1, \dots, V_k be real \mathcal{P} -oriented \mathcal{L} -free G -modules of dimension $d \geq 0$, such that $V_i - V_j \in IO(G)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Then, for a sufficiently large integer ℓ , there exists a smooth action of G on the n -sphere S^n for $n = d + \ell \dim V$, with exactly k fixed points at which the tangent G -modules are isomorphic to $V_1 \oplus \ell V, \dots, V_k \oplus \ell V$.*

Proof. According to [LM], $\mathcal{F}_{\text{iso}}(G; V(G) \setminus \{0\}) = \mathcal{S}(G) \setminus \mathcal{L}(G)$ and $\mathcal{PC}(G) \cap \mathcal{L}(G) = \emptyset$. Therefore, for each $H \in \mathcal{PC}(G)$, $\dim V(G)^{=H} \geq 1$ and thus $\dim V^{=H} \geq 1$.

For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, consider the invariant unit sphere $\Sigma_i^n = S(V_i \oplus \ell V \oplus \mathbb{R})$, where G acts trivially on \mathbb{R} . In particular, $n = d + \ell \dim V$ for $d = \dim V_i$, and the fixed point set $F_i = (\Sigma_i^n)^G$ consists of two points, say x_i and y_i . Set $X_i = \{x_i\}$ and $Y_i = \{y_i\}$.

We claim that the conditions (1)–(4) of Theorem 5.2 all hold for Σ_i^n when ℓ is sufficiently large. First, note that the equivariant normal bundle $\nu_{F_i \subset \Sigma_i^n}$ consists of two copies of

$V_i \oplus \ell V$. As $V_i \oplus \ell V$ is \mathcal{P} -oriented and \mathcal{L} -free, (1) is proven. If $\ell \geq 2$, then for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, $\dim(\Sigma_i^n)^P \geq 2$ and thus the sphere $(\Sigma_i^n)^P$ is simply connected, proving (2). If $\ell \geq 5$, then for each $H \in \mathcal{PC}(G)$, $\dim(\ell V)^{=H} \geq 5$ which implies that $\dim(\Sigma_i^n)^{=H} \geq 5$, proving (3). Now, choose ℓ in such a way that $\ell d_V(P, H) > -d_{V_i}(P, H)$ for each proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G (remember, as V is a gap G -module, $d_V(P, H) > 0$). Then, for each proper pair (P, H) of subgroups of G ,

$$d_{V_i \oplus \ell V}(P, H) = d_{V_i}(P, H) + \ell d_V(P, H) > d_{V_i}(P, H) - d_{V_i}(P, H) = 0,$$

and thus $\dim(\Sigma_i^n)^P > 2 \dim(\Sigma_i^n)^H$, proving (4). So, the claim is proven.

Now, by Theorem 5.2, we obtain a smooth action of G on a copy S_i^n of the n -sphere S^n such that $(S_i^n)^G = X_i = \{x_i\}$ and $T_{x_i}(S_i^n) \cong V_i \oplus \ell V$ as G -modules.

As $V_i - V_j \in IO(G)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, it follows from Theorem 4.4 that if the integer ℓ is sufficiently large, then there exists a smooth action of G on the n -disk D^n such that $(D^n)^G = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and $T_{a_i}(D^n) \cong V_i \oplus \ell V$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Clearly, the equivariant double of D^n is a copy S_0^n of the n -sphere S^n equipped with a smooth action of G such that $(S_0^n)^G = \{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_k, b_k\}$ and as real G -modules:

$$T_{a_i}(S_0^n) \cong T_{b_i}(S_0^n) \cong V_i \oplus \ell V \cong T_{x_i}(S_i^n)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. This allows us to take the equivariant connected sum $S_0^n \# S_1^n \# \dots \# S_k^n$ of the spheres $S_0^n, S_1^n, \dots, S_k^n$ formed by connecting sufficiently small neighborhoods of points a_i and x_i . As a result, we obtain a smooth action of G on S^n such that $(S^n)^G = \{b_1, \dots, b_k\}$ and $T_{b_i}(S^n) \cong V_i \oplus \ell V$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. \square

We wish to remark that using the methods of [Mo3], [Pa2], [O3], [MP1] and [MP2], one may prove more general results than that presented in Theorem 5.5. For example, in [MP3, Theorem 6.3], each isolated fixed point is replaced by a simply connected manifold. Without referring to [MP3, Theorem 6.3], we decided to give the proof of Theorem 5.5 due to simplifications which follow in the case where the fixed point set is discrete.

Let G be a finite group. For any real G -module V , the G -module $V \oplus V$ is the realification of the complexification of V . In particular, $V \oplus V$ is \mathcal{P} -oriented (as it is G -oriented).

In Proposition 5.8 below, we show that for any two real G -modules U and V such that $U - V \in IO(G)$, the G -module $U \oplus V$ is \mathcal{P} -oriented. Using some deep results about group actions on disks, the result is also noted in [MP3, Lemma 2.5]. Here, we make use only of group theory and representation theory arguments. First, we prove the following two lemmas about the determinants of transformations of G -modules.

Lemma 5.6. *Let G be a finite group and let $T = \langle t \rangle$ be the cyclic subgroup of G generated by an element $t \in G$ of 2-power order. Let U and V be two real G -modules of the same dimension. If $\dim U^T \equiv \dim V^T \pmod{2}$, then the determinants of the transformations $t : U \rightarrow U$ and $t : V \rightarrow V$ agree, $\det(t|_U) = \det(t|_V)$.*

Proof. Let L be the nontrivial 1-dimensional real irreducible T -module determined by the canonical epimorphism $T \rightarrow O(1)$, which exists because the order of t is a power of 2. Then, as T -modules, $U \cong U^T \oplus mL$ and $V \cong V^T \oplus nL$ for some integers $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$. As $\dim U = \dim V$ and $\dim U^T \equiv \dim V^T \pmod{2}$, it follows that $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$. Therefore, $\det(t|_U) = (-1)^m = (-1)^n = \det(t|_V)$. \square

Lemma 5.7. *Let G be a finite group with a normal p -subgroup P for an odd prime p and with a cyclic 2-subgroup $T = \langle t \rangle$, such that $G = PT$. Let U and V be two non-zero real G -modules with $U^G = V^G = \{0\}$. If $U \cong V$ as P -modules, then the determinants of the transformations $t : U \rightarrow U$ and $t : V \rightarrow V$ agree, $\det(t|_U) = \det(t|_V)$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|P| + \dim U$. If $P = 1$, then $U = V = \{0\}$, contrary to assumption. Let K be the kernel of the P -action on U (and V). If $K \neq 1$, we are done by induction in G/K . Hence we may assume that $K = 1$. Let E be a minimal normal subgroup of G with $E \leq P$. Suppose that $\dim U^E > 0$. Then $U^E \cong V^E$ and $U - U^E \cong V - V^E$ as P -modules and all four of these are G -modules. Hence induction yields that $\det(t|_{U^E}) = \det(t|_{V^E})$ and $\det(t|_{U-U^E}) = \det(t|_{V-V^E})$, and we are done.

Thus we may assume that $\dim U^E = \dim V^E = 0$. If $E \neq P$, we are done by induction in the group ET . Hence we may assume that P is an elementary abelian p -group and that P is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Also, $\dim U^P = \dim V^P = 0$. If $t' \in T$, then the centralizer $C_P(t')$ is normal in G , hence is 1 or P . Therefore either $G = P \times T$ is cyclic or the center $Z = Z(G)$ is a proper subgroup of T and the quotient G/Z is a Frobenius group with kernel PZ/Z and complement T/Z .

By assumption, $U \cong V$ as P -modules, and thus $\dim U = \dim V$. Hence, by Lemma 5.6, it suffices to prove that the congruence $\dim U^T \equiv \dim V^T \pmod{2}$ holds.

Write $U = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots$ and $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots$ as sums of irreducible P -modules U_i and V_i with $U_i \cong V_i$. Then the inertia group of U_i in G equals the inertia group of V_i in G . Thus U and V are both the direct sums of the same number of irreducible G -modules and we may write $U = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k$ and $V = N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k$ where M_i and N_i are G -modules with $\dim M_i = \dim N_i$ and $\dim M_i^P = \dim N_i^P = 0$.

So, in order to prove that the congruence $\dim U^T \equiv \dim V^T \pmod{2}$ holds, it suffices to prove that for any two real irreducible G -modules M and N with $\dim M = \dim N$ and $\dim M^P = \dim N^P = 0$, the same congruence holds:

$$\dim M^T \equiv \dim N^T \pmod{2}.$$

According to Clifford theory, if M is absolutely irreducible, $M = \text{Ind}_{PZ}^G(W \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda)$ for an absolutely irreducible real P -module W and a character λ of $Z = Z(G)$. Set $d = |G : PZ|$. Then $\dim M = d$. On the other hand, if M is not absolutely irreducible, $M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = X \oplus \overline{X}$ where X and \overline{X} are two complex conjugate absolutely irreducible G -modules, and so in this case $\dim M = 2d$. As $\dim M = \dim N$, either both M and N are absolutely irreducible or neither is.

Case 1: Neither M nor N is absolutely irreducible. Then $M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = X \oplus \overline{X}$ for two complex conjugate absolutely irreducible G -modules X and \overline{X} , and $N \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = Y \oplus \overline{Y}$ for two complex conjugate absolutely irreducible G -modules Y and \overline{Y} . Thus the integers $\dim M^T$ and $\dim N^T$ are both even, proving that $\dim M^T \equiv \dim N^T \pmod{2}$.

Case 2: M and N are both absolutely irreducible. Then $Z = Z(G)$ maps into the group $\{I, -I\}$ of real scalars and so we may assume that $|Z| \leq 2$. Furthermore if $|Z| = 1$, then we can replace G with a larger group so that $|Z| = 2$ without loss. Thus we may assume that $Z = \langle z \rangle$ has order 2. Let χ_M and χ_N be the characters of M and N , respectively. We wish to compute the Frobenius-Schur indicators $\nu(\chi_M)$ and $\nu(\chi_N)$ of the characters χ_M and χ_N . First, we set $\chi = \chi_M$. By definition,

$$\nu(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^2).$$

Note that $\chi = \text{Ind}_{PZ}^G(\lambda)$ for some irreducible character λ of PZ with $\text{Res}_P^{PZ}(\lambda) \neq 1_P$. Since PZ is a normal subgroup of G , thus $\chi(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G \setminus PZ$. Hence in the displayed sum, all the terms are 0 except when $g^2 \in PZ$. Let $v \in T$ with $v^2 = z$. Then $g^2 \in PZ$ if and only if $g \in P\langle v \rangle$, which is a union of two cosets of PZ . Consider the squaring map on PZ . This is a two-to-one map of PZ onto P (if $x \in P$, then $x^2 = (xz)^2 \in P$). Since $P\langle v \rangle = PZ \cup PZv$, we have

$$\nu(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \left(2 \sum_{g \in P} \chi(g) + \sum_{g \in PZv} \chi(g^2) \right).$$

Now $\frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{g \in P} \chi(g) = \langle \text{Res}_P^G(\chi), 1_P \rangle$, the inner product of $\text{Res}_P^G(\chi)$ and 1_P ; i.e. it is the multiplicity of 1_P as a constituent of $\text{Res}_P^G(\chi)$, which is exactly the dimension of M^P , which is 0 by assumption. Hence $2 \sum_{g \in P} \chi(g) = 0$ and so

$$\nu(\chi) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in PZv} \chi(g^2).$$

Let $x \in P$. Then $v xv^{-1} = x^{-1}$. Also $v^2 = z$. Hence $v xv x = v xv^{-1} v^2 x = x^{-1} v^2 x = z$. Also $v x z v x z = v x v x = z$. So $g^2 = z$ for all $g \in PZv$. Thus

$$\nu(\chi) = \frac{|PZ|\chi(z)}{|G|} = \frac{\chi(z)}{\chi(1)}.$$

So $\nu(\chi) = 1$ (whence χ is a real character) if and only if $\chi(z) = \chi(1) = d$, i.e. z is in the kernel of $\chi = \chi_M$. We may apply the same arguments for $\chi = \chi_N$ to conclude that $\nu(\chi) = 1$ if and only if z is in the kernel of $\chi = \chi_N$. Therefore, for both M and N , we may assume that z is in the kernel and factor it out. The G -modules M and N when restricted to T are both isomorphic to the regular T -module. Thus $\dim M^T = \dim N^T$, proving that the congruence $\dim M^T \equiv \dim N^T \pmod{2}$ holds also in this case. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let G be a finite group. Let U and V be two real G -modules such that $U - V \in IO(G)$. Then, for each $g \in N_G(P)$ with $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, the determinants of the transformations $g : U^P \rightarrow U^P$ and $g : V^P \rightarrow V^P$ agree, $\det(g|_{U^P}) = \det(g|_{V^P})$, and thus $\det(g|_{(U \oplus V)^P}) = 1$, that is, $U \oplus V$ is \mathcal{P} -oriented.*

Proof. Let $t \in G$ be an element of 2-power order. If $g = tx = xt$ for an element $x \in G$ of odd order, then $\det(x) = 1$, so $\det(g) = \det(t)$. Thus it suffices to prove the result for $g = t$. By induction on the order of G , we may assume that $G = PT$ for a normal p -subgroup P of G and the cyclic subgroup T of G generated by t . If $p = 2$, G is a 2-group. Then $U \cong V$ as G -modules because $U - V \in IO(G)$, and the result is clear.

Assume that p is odd. As $U - V \in IO(G)$, $U \cong V$ both as P -modules and T -modules. Write $U = U^P \oplus (U - U^P)$ and $V = V^P \oplus (V - V^P)$. Then $\det(t|_{U^P}) = \det(t|_{V^P})$ if and only if $\det(t|_{U - U^P}) = \det(t|_{V - V^P})$. To complete the proof, note that the later equality holds by applying Lemma 5.7 to the action of G on the G -modules $U - U^P$ and $V - V^P$ (remember, $U - U^P$ and $V - V^P$ are isomorphic as P -modules). \square

Finally, we are ready to present a result which allows us to realize each element of $LO(G)$ as the difference of two Smith equivalent real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules.

Corollary 5.9. *Let G be a finite Oliver gap group. For an integer $k \geq 1$, let V_1, \dots, V_k be real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules such that $V_i - V_j \in IO(G)$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Then there exists a smooth action of G on a sphere S^n with exactly k fixed points at which the tangent G -modules are isomorphic to $V_1 \oplus W, \dots, V_k \oplus W$ for a real \mathcal{L} -free G -module W such that $\dim W^P > 0$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$.*

Proof. Let V_0 be one of the G -modules V_1, \dots, V_k . Then each $V_i \oplus V_0$ is \mathcal{L} -free. Moreover, $V_i \oplus V_0$ is \mathcal{P} -oriented by Proposition 5.8. As G is a gap group, Lemma 5.4 asserts that G has a real \mathcal{P} -oriented \mathcal{L} -free gap G -module V which contains $V(G)$ as a direct summand. So, by Theorem 5.5, for a sufficiently large integer ℓ , there exists a smooth action of G on S^n with exactly k fixed points x_1, \dots, x_k and $T_{x_i}(S^n) \cong V_i \oplus W$ for $W = V_0 \oplus \ell V$ and all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Clearly, W is \mathcal{L} -free and since W contains $V(G)$ as a direct summand, $\dim W^P > 0$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let G be a finite Oliver gap group. Take an element $U - V \in LO(G)$. As we may assume that the real G -modules U and V are both \mathcal{L} -free and $U - V \in IO(G)$, Corollary 5.9 asserts that there exists a smooth action of G on a sphere S^n with exactly two fixed points at which the tangent G -modules are isomorphic to $U \oplus W$ and $V \oplus W$ for a real \mathcal{L} -free G -module W . Therefore $U - V = (U \oplus W) - (V \oplus W) \in Sm(G)$, proving that $LO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$. By Corollary 5.9, $\dim W^P > 0$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. \square

Remark 5.10. In the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have shown that each element of $LO(G)$ can be realized as the difference of two Smith equivalent real \mathcal{L} -free G -modules $U \oplus W$ and $V \oplus W$ such that $\dim W^P > 0$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$. In particular, by Smith theory, the action of G on the sphere S^n satisfies the condition that $(S^n)^P$ is connected for each $P \in \mathcal{P}(G)$, which in turn implies that the action of G on S^n is 2-proper so that $U \oplus W$ and $V \oplus W$ are 2-proper Smith equivalent in the sense of the definitions in [LP].

6. Smith equivalence and the 8-condition

In this section, we consider a class of finite groups G such that $Sm(G) \subseteq PO(G)$, and thus $Pm(G) = PO(G) \cap Sm(G) = Sm(G)$. For convenience, we say that a finite group G satisfies the 8-condition if G either has no element of order 8 or otherwise for any cyclic 2-subgroup H of G of order ≥ 8 , $\dim W^H > 0$ for all irreducible G -modules W . In [LP], such a group G is called 2-proper (cf. [LP, Example 2.5 and Lemma 2.6]).

Lemma 6.1. *Let G be a finite group satisfying the 8-condition. Then $Sm(G) \subseteq PO(G)$.*

Proof. Take an element $U - V \in Sm(G)$. We may assume that the G -modules U and V are Smith equivalent, i.e., there exists a smooth action of G on S^n with $(S^n)^G = \{x, y\}$ where $T_x(S^n) \cong U$ and $T_y(S^n) \cong V$.

By [AB], [Mi], [Sa], U and V are isomorphic when restricted to any p -subgroup of G for any odd prime p dividing the order of G , and by elementary character theory arguments, U and V are isomorphic when restricted to any cyclic subgroup of G of order 2 or 4.

Assume G has no element of order 8. Then it follows that U and V are isomorphic when restricted to any cyclic 2-subgroup (and thus any 2-subgroup) of G .

Assume G has an element of order 8 and for any cyclic 2-subgroup H of G of order ≥ 8 , $\dim W^H > 0$ for all irreducible G -modules W . By the Slice Theorem and Smith theory, $\dim(S^n)^H = \dim U^H = \dim V^H > 0$ and $(S^n)^H$ is connected, so U and V are isomorphic as H -modules. Thus U and V are isomorphic when restricted to any 2-subgroup of G .

So, we have noted that $U - V \in IO(G)$, and thus $U - V \in PO(G)$ by the Slice Theorem, proving that $Sm(G) \subseteq PO(G)$. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Let G be a finite group satisfying the 8-condition, and assume that $r_G \leq 1$. Then $Sm(G) = 0$.*

Proof. As G satisfies the 8-condition, $Sm(G) \subseteq PO(G)$ by Lemma 6.1. By assumption, $r_G \leq 1$ and thus $PO(G) = 0$ by Lemma 0.1. Therefore $Sm(G) = 0$. \square

Corollary 6.3. *Let G be a finite perfect group. Then $PO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$. If G also satisfies the 8-condition, then $Sm(G) = PO(G)$.*

Proof. As G is perfect, $LO(G) = PO(G)$ and thus $PO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$ by Theorem 5.1. So, if G also satisfies the 8-condition, then $Sm(G) = PO(G)$ by Lemma 6.1. \square

7. Proofs of Theorems A1–A3, B1–B3, C1–C3, and Concluding Corollary

Proof of Theorem A1. Let G be a finite group and let H be a normal subgroup of G such that $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ for two distinct odd primes p and q . We shall prove that $r_G \geq 2$ and $LO(G) \neq 0$. First, we note that \mathbb{Z}_{pq} contains $\phi(pq) = (p-1)(q-1)$ elements of order pq , and hence $\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(q-1)$ real conjugacy classes of elements of order pq . Since we may assume that $p \geq 3$ and $q \geq 5$, and we know that $r_G \geq \bar{r}_{G/H} \geq r_{G/H}$, thus $r_G \geq 4$.

Now, we prove that $LO(G) \neq 0$. Set $n = pq$. Let ζ_n be the primitive n -th root of unity. Assume that $H = 1$ so that $G = \mathbb{Z}_n = \langle g \mid g^n = 1 \rangle$. Take $U = U_1 \oplus U_2$ and $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, where U_i and V_i ($i = 1, 2$) are the irreducible 1-dimensional complex G -modules with characters

$$\chi_U(g) = \chi_{U_1}(g) + \chi_{U_2}(g) = \zeta_n + \zeta_n^2$$

$$\chi_V(g) = \chi_{V_1}(g) + \chi_{V_2}(g) = \zeta_n^a + \zeta_n^b,$$

and the integers a and b are chosen so that the following holds:

$$a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}, \quad a \equiv 2 \pmod{q}$$

$$b \equiv 2 \pmod{p}, \quad b \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$$

(for example, if $p = 3$ and $q = 5$, $a = 7$ and $b = 11$). Then U and V are complex \mathcal{L} -free G -modules isomorphic when restricted to $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ or \mathbb{Z}_q . The realifications $r(U)$ and $r(V)$ are not isomorphic as real G -modules (remember p and q are odd) but $r(U)$ and $r(V)$ are isomorphic when restricted to $P \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ or \mathbb{Z}_q . Thus, $r(U) - r(V)$ is a nonzero element of $LO(G)$. Now, if $H \neq 1$, the epimorphism $G \rightarrow G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ (mapping large subgroups of G onto large subgroups of G/H) allows us to consider the complex \mathbb{Z}_n -modules U and V constructed above as complex \mathcal{L} -free G -modules, and as before, $r(U) - r(V)$ is a nonzero element of $LO(G)$. \square

Proof of Theorem A2. Let G be a finite Oliver group of odd order. We shall prove that $r_G \geq 2$ and $LO(G) \neq 0$. In the case G has a cyclic quotient of order pq for two distinct odd primes p and q , the result follows by Theorem A1. In turn, in the case each cyclic quotient of G has prime power order, $r_G > \bar{r}_{G/G^{\text{nil}}}$ and $r_G \geq 2$ by Proposition 1.6, and thus $IO(G, G^{\text{nil}}) \neq 0$ by Lemma 0.1, and hence $LO(G) \neq 0$ by Lemma 0.3. \square

Proof of Theorem A3. Let G be a finite nonsolvable group. We shall prove that $LO(G) = 0$ for $r_G \leq 1$, and $LO(G) \neq 0$ for $r_G \geq 2$, except when $G \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$. For $r_G \leq 1$, $PO(G) = 0$ by Lemma 0.1, and thus $LO(G) = 0$ by Lemma 0.3, while for $r_G \geq 2$, $IO(G, G^{\text{sol}}) \neq 0$ by Corollary 3.12, and thus $LO(G) \neq 0$ by Lemma 0.3, except when $G \cong \text{Aut}(A_6)$ or $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$. In the case $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$, the Dress subgroup $G^p = G$ for any prime $p \neq 2$, and $G^2 = A_6 = G^{\text{sol}}$. Hence $IO(G, G^2) = 0$ by Corollary 3.12, and thus $LO(G) = 0$ by Lemma 0.3. In turn, if $G = P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, the Dress subgroup $G^p = G$ for any prime $p \neq 3$, and $G^3 = P\Sigma L(2, 27) = G^{\text{sol}}$. Hence $IO(G, G^3) = 0$ by Corollary 3.12, and thus $LO(G) = 0$ by Lemma 0.3. Finally, by inspection in [C–W], we see that for $G = \text{Aut}(A_6)$, $r_G = 2$ corresponding to elements of order 6 and 10, and we see that for $G = P\Sigma L(2, 27)$, $r_G = 2$ corresponding to elements of order 6 and 14. \square

Proof of Theorem B1. Let G be a finite Oliver group with a cyclic quotient of order pq for two distinct odd primes p and q . Then $G^p \neq G$ and $G^q \neq G$, and thus G is a gap group by [MSY]. Moreover, $r_G \geq 2$ and $LO(G) \neq 0$ by Theorem A1. As $LO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$ by Theorem 5.1, we see that $Pm(G) \neq 0$, proving Theorem B1. \square

Proof of Theorem B2. Let G be a finite Oliver group of odd order. Then $G^2 = G$, and thus G is a gap group by [MSY]. Moreover, $r_G \geq 2$ and $LO(G) \neq 0$ by Theorem A2. As $LO(G) \subseteq Sm(G)$ by Theorem 5.1, we see that $Pm(G) \neq 0$, proving Theorem B2. \square

Proof of Theorem B3. Let G be a finite nonsolvable gap group. By [MSY], $\text{Aut}(A_6)$ is not a gap group. So, G is not isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(A_6)$. Assume also that G is not isomorphic to $P\Sigma L(2, 27)$. Then, for $r_G \leq 1$, $PO(G) = 0$ by Lemma 0.1, and therefore $Pm(G) = 0$. In turn, for $r_G \geq 2$, $LO(G) \neq 0$ by Theorem A3, and thus it follows from Theorem 5.1 that $Pm(G) \neq 0$. Hence, $Pm(G) \neq 0$ if and only if $r_G \geq 2$, proving Theorem B3. \square

Proof of Theorem C1. For a finite nonabelian simple group G , we shall prove that the claims (1) and (2) of Theorem C1 both hold. For $r_G \leq 1$, Corollary 2.20 asserts that G is isomorphic to one the groups listed in (1). Some inspection in [C–W] or [GLS] confirms that $r_G = 0$ for $G \cong PSL(2, q)$ with $q = 5, 7, 8, 9, 17$, and for $G \cong PSL(3, 4)$, $Sz(8)$, or $Sz(32)$. Moreover, $r_G = 1$ corresponding to an element of order 6 when $G \cong PSL(2, 11)$, $PSL(2, 13)$, $PSL(3, 3)$, A_7 , M_{11} , or M_{22} . In addition, G has an element of order 8 if and only if $G \cong PSL(2, 17)$, $PSL(3, 3)$, M_{11} , or M_{22} . Further inspection in [C–W] or [GLS] shows that for $r_G \leq 1$, G satisfies the 8-condition. Hence, $Sm(G) = 0$ by Corollary 6.2, proving (1). In general, G is simple and nonabelian, and so G is a gap group by [MSY]. Hence, for $r_G \geq 2$, $Pm(G) \neq 0$ by Theorem B3, and thus $Sm(G) \neq 0$, proving (2). \square

Proof of Theorem C2. Let $G = SL(n, q)$ or $Sp(n, q)$ where $n \geq 2$ and n is even in the latter case, and q is any prime power in both cases. We shall prove that the claims (1) and (2) of Theorem C2 both hold. Clearly, $r_G = 0$ when $G = SL(2, 2) \cong PSL(2, 2) \cong S_3$, and by Theorem C1, $r_G = 0$ when $G = SL(2, 4) \cong PSL(2, 4) \cong PSL(2, 5) \cong A_5$, as well as when $G = SL(2, 8) \cong PSL(2, 8)$ or $G = SL(3, 2) \cong PSL(3, 2) \cong PSL(2, 7)$. Moreover, for $G = SL(3, 3) \cong PSL(3, 3)$, $r_G = 1$ corresponding to an element of order 6. The same is true for $G = SL(2, 3)$ because G has elements of orders 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6, and the elements of order 6 are all real conjugate in G (cf. [LP, Proposition 2.3]).

Note that neither $SL(2, 2)$ nor $SL(2, 3)$ is an Oliver group and recall that except for $SL(2, 2)$ and $SL(2, 3)$, every $SL(n, q)$ is a perfect (and thus Oliver) group. So, by

Theorem 2.1, $r_G \geq 2$ when $G = SL(n, q)$, except when $G = SL(2, 2)$, $SL(2, 4)$, $SL(2, 8)$, $SL(2, 3)$, $SL(3, 2)$, or $SL(3, 3)$ (where $r_G \leq 1$ as we noted above). In the exceptional cases, G has an element of order 8 if and only if $G = SL(3, 3)$, and by checking in [C-W] or [GLS], we see that $SL(3, 3) \cong PSL(3, 3)$ satisfies the 8-condition.

As $Sp(2, q) \cong SL(2, q)$ for any prime power q , we see that $r_G \leq 1$ when $G = Sp(2, 2)$, $Sp(2, 4)$, $Sp(2, 8)$, or $Sp(2, 3)$. Except for $Sp(2, 2)$, $Sp(2, 3)$, and $Sp(4, 2)$, every $Sp(n, q)$ is a perfect (and thus Oliver) group. Moreover, $Sp(4, 2) \cong S_6$ is a nonsolvable (and thus Oliver) group. So, by Theorem 2.1, $r_G \geq 2$ when $G = Sp(n, q)$, except when $G = Sp(2, 2)$, $Sp(2, 4)$, $Sp(2, 8)$, or $Sp(2, 3)$ (where $r_G \leq 1$ as we noted above).

In effect, for $G = SL(n, q)$ or $Sp(n, q)$ with $r_G \leq 1$, G satisfies the 8-condition. Hence, $Sm(G) = 0$ by Corollary 6.2, proving (1). To prove (2), note that for $r_G \geq 2$, G is either a perfect (and thus gap) group (cf. [MSY]) or $G = Sp(4, 2) \cong S_6$ and S_6 is a gap group by [DH] or [MSY]. Therefore, $Pm(G) \neq 0$ by Theorem B3, and so $Sm(G) \neq 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem C3. For $G = A_n$ or S_n with $n \geq 2$, we shall prove that the claims (1) and (2) of Theorem C3 both hold. First, we consider the case $G = A_n$. For $n \leq 6$, $r_G = 0$ because each element of G has prime power order. For $n = 7$, $r_G = 1$ corresponding to the element (12)(34)(567) of order 6. For $n \geq 8$, $r_G \geq 2$ because the elements (12)(34)(567) and (123456)(78) have order 6 and are not real conjugate in G .

Now, we consider the case $G = S_n$. For $n \leq 4$, $r_G = 0$ because each element of G has prime power order. For $n = 5$, $r_G = 1$ corresponding to the element (12)(345) of order 6. For $n \geq 6$, $r_G \geq 2$ because the elements (12)(345) and (123456) have order 6 and are not real conjugate in G . As a result, for $G = A_n$ or S_n with $r_G \leq 1$, G is one of the groups listed in (1). For $G = A_n$ or S_n with $n \leq 7$, G has no element of order 8 because any permutation of order 8 must involve an 8-cycle in its cycle decomposition.

In effect, for $r_G \leq 1$, G satisfies the 8-condition. Hence, $Sm(G) = 0$ by Corollary 6.2, proving (1). For $G = A_n$ with $n \geq 5$, G is simple and nonabelian, and so G is a gap group by [MSY]. For $G = S_n$ with $n \geq 6$, G is a gap group by [DS] or [MSY]. In particular, for $r_G \geq 2$, G is a gap group and thus $Pm(G) \neq 0$ by Theorem B3, proving (2). \square

Proof of Concluding Corollary. We shall prove that for G as in the Concluding Corollary, $Sm(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G \leq 1$. First, note that the assertion holds for any finite simple group G . In fact, if G is nonabelian, the assertion follows from Theorem C1, and if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ for some prime p , $r_G = 0$ and $Sm(G) = 0$ by [AB], [Mi]. Now, note that for $G = SL(n, q)$, $Sp(n, q)$, A_n , or S_n , the assertion follows from Theorems C2 and C3.

The assertion holds also for $G = PSL(n, q)$. In fact, except for $PSL(2, 2)$ and $PSL(2, 3)$, every $PSL(n, q)$ is a simple group, and thus Theorem C1 proves the assertion. Moreover, for $G = PSL(2, 2) \cong S_3$ or $G = PSL(2, 3) \cong A_4$, G has no element of order 8 and each element of G has a prime order, and so $r_G = 0$. Hence, $Sm(G) = 0$ by Corollary 6.2.

Finally, we note that for $G = PSp(n, q)$, $Sm(G) = 0$ if and only if $r_G \leq 1$. In fact, except for $PSp(2, 2)$, $PSp(2, 3)$, and $PSp(4, 2)$, every $PSp(n, q)$ is a simple group, and thus with the exceptions noted, the assertion follows from Theorem C1. In the exceptional cases, $PSp(2, 2) \cong PSL(2, 2) \cong S_3$, $PSp(2, 3) \cong PSL(2, 3) \cong A_4$, and $PSp(4, 2) \cong Sp(4, 2) \cong S_6$, and thus the assertion follows by the arguments above. \square

REFERENCES

- [AB] Atiyah, M.F., Bott, R., *A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes: II. Applications*, Ann. of Math. **88** (1968), 451–491.
- [BM] Bak, A., Morimoto, M., *Equivariant surgery with middle dimensional singular sets I*, Forum Math. **8** (1996), 267–302.
- [BT] Bannuscher, W., Tiedt, G., *On a theorem of Deaconescu*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq. **47** (1994), 23–26.
- [Br] Bredon, G.E., *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure and Applied Math., **Vol. 46**, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [CS1] Cappell, S.E., Shaneson, J.L., *Fixed points of periodic maps*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **77** (1980), 5052–5054.
- [CS2] Cappell, S.E., Shaneson, J.L., *Fixed points of periodic differentiable maps*, Invent. Math. **68** (1982), 1–19.
- [CS3] Cappell, S.E., Shaneson, J.L., *Representations at fixed points*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. **36** (1985), 151–158.
- [Ch] Cho, E.C., *Smith equivalent representations of generalized quaternion groups*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. **36** (1985), 317–322.
- [C–W] Conway, J.H., Curtis, R.T., Norton, S.P., Parker, R.A., Wilson, R.A., *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [DWu] Delgado, A., Wu, Y.-F., *On locally finite groups in which every element has prime power order*, Preprint (2000).
- [tD] tom Dieck, T., *Transformation Groups*, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **8**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin · New York, 1987.
- [DH] Dovermann, K.H., Herzog, M., *Gap conditions for representations of symmetric groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **119** (1987), 113–137.
- [DP] Dovermann, K.H., Petrie, T., *Smith equivalence of representations for odd order cyclic groups*, Topology **24** (1985), 283–305.

- [DPS] Dovermann, K.H., Petrie, T., Schultz, R., *Transformation groups and fixed point data*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. **36** (1985), 159–189.
- [DS] Dovermann, K.H., Suh, D.Y., *Smith equivalence for finite abelian groups*, Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), 41–78.
- [DW] Dovermann, K.H., Washington, L.D., *Relations between cyclotomic units and Smith equivalence of representations*, Topology **28** (1989), 81–89.
- [GLS] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., Solomon, R., *The Classification of the Finite Simple Groups, Number 3, Chapter A: Almost Simple K -groups*, vol. 40 #3, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys, 1997.
- [H] Higman, G., *Finite groups in which every element has prime power order*, J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1957), 335–342.
- [I] Illman, S., *Representations at fixed points of actions of finite groups on spheres*, in Current Trends in Algebraic Topology (ed. M. Kane, S.O. Kochman, P.S. Selick, V.P. Snaith), CMS Conference Proc. Vol. **2**, Part **2** (1982), 135–155.
- [K] Kawakubo, K., *The Theory of Transformation Groups*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [LM] Laitinen, E., Morimoto, M., *Finite groups with smooth one fixed point actions on spheres*, Forum Math. **10** (1998), 479–520.
- [LMP] Laitinen, E., Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *Deleting–Inserting Theorem for smooth actions of finite nonsolvable groups on spheres*, Comment. Math. Helv. **70** (1995), 10–38.
- [LP] Laitinen, E., Pawałowski, K., *Smith equivalence of representations for finite perfect groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 297–307.
- [MPe] Masuda, M., Petrie, T., *Lectures on transformation groups and Smith equivalence*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. **36** (1985), 191–242.
- [Mi] Milnor, J.W., *Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 358–426.
- [Mo1] Morimoto, M., *Bak groups and equivariant surgery*, K-Theory **2** (1989), 465–483.
- [Mo2] Morimoto, M., *Bak groups and equivariant surgery II*, K-Theory **3** (1990), 505–521.
- [Mo3] Morimoto, M., *Equivariant surgery theory: Deleting–Inserting Theorem of fixed point manifolds on spheres and disks*, K-theory **15** (1998), 13–32.
- [MP1] Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *Equivariant wedge sum construction of finite contractible G -CW complexes with G -vector bundles*, Osaka J. Math. **36** (1999), 767–781.
- [MP2] Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *The Equivariant Bundle Subtraction Theorem and its applications*, Fund. Math. **161** (1999), 279–303.
- [MP3] Morimoto, M., Pawałowski, K., *Smooth actions of finite Oliver groups on spheres: I*, Preprint (January, 2001).
- [MSY] Morimoto, M., Sumi, T., Yanagihara, M., *Finite groups possessing gap modules*, Geometry and Topology: Aarhus (ed. K. Grove, I.H. Madsen, E.K. Pedersen), Contemp. Math. **258** (2000), 329–342.
- [O1] Oliver, R., *Fixed point sets of group actions on finite acyclic complexes*, Comment. Math. Helv. **50** (1975), 155–177.
- [O2] Oliver, R., *Smooth compact Lie group actions on disks*, Math. Z. **149** (1976), 79–96.
- [O3] Oliver, B., *Fixed point sets and tangent bundles of actions on disks and Euclidean spaces*, Topology **35** (1996), 583–615.

- [Pa1] Pawałowski, K., *Group actions with inequivalent representations at fixed points*, Math. Z. **187** (1984), 29–47.
- [Pa2] Pawałowski, K., *Fixed point sets of smooth group actions on disks and Euclidean spaces*, Topology **28** (1989), 273–289. Corrections: *ibid.* **35** (1996), 749–750.
- [Pa3] Pawałowski, K., *Smith equivalence of group modules and the Laitinen conjecture. A survey*, Geometry and Topology: Aarhus (ed. K. Grove, I.H. Madsen, E.K. Pedersen), Contemp. Math. **258** (2000), 343–350.
- [Pe1] Petrie, T., *Pseudoequivalences of G -manifolds*, in Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. **32** (1978), 169–210.
- [Pe2] Petrie, T., *Three theorems in transformation groups*, in Algebraic Topology, Aarhus 1978, Lecture Notes in Math. **763** (1979), 549–572.
- [Pe3] Petrie, T., *The equivariant J homomorphism and Smith equivalence of representations*, in Current Trends in Algebraic Topology (ed. M. Kane, S.O. Kochman, P.S. Selick, V.P. Snaith), CMS Conference Proc. Vol. **2**, Part **2** (1982), 223–233.
- [Pe4] Petrie, T., *Smith equivalence of representations*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **94** (1983), 61–99.
- [PR] Petrie, T., Randall, J., *Spherical isotropy representations*, Publ. Math. IHES **62** (1985), 5–40.
- [Sa] Sanchez, C.U., *Actions of groups of odd order on compact orientable manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1976), 445–448.
- [Sch] Schultz, R., *Problems submitted to the A.M.S. Summer Research Conference on Group Actions. Collected and edited by R. Schultz*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. **36** (1985), 513–568.
- [Sm] Smith, P.A., *New results and old problems in finite transformation groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **66** (1960), 401–415.
- [Suh] Suh, D.Y., *s -Smith equivalent representations of finite abelian groups*, Group Actions on Manifolds (ed. R. Schultz), Contemp. Math. **36** (1985), 323–329.
- [Sum] Sumi, T., *Gap modules for direct product groups*, to appear in Journal of the Math. Soc. of Japan.
- [Suz] Suzuki, M., *On a class of doubly transitive groups*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 105–145.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
 UL. JANA MATEJKI 48/49
 PL-60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND
E-mail address: kpa@main.amu.edu.pl

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
 231 WEST 18TH AVENUE
 COLUMBUS, OH 43210-1174, USA
E-mail address: solomon@math.ohio-state.edu